

NEUTRON STARS AS DENSE MATTER LABORATORIES

DEBARATI CHATTERJEE LUTH, OBSERVATOIRE DE PARIS, MEUDON

COLLABORATORS: MICAELA OERTEL JEROME NOVAK

Friday 20 December 2013





- Normal stars eventually exhaust their nuclear fuel and collapse under gravity.
- Produced in supernova explosions (Type II)
 Collapse is halted (at least temporarily)
- at nuclear density. Compact massive objects, $M \sim 1-2 M_{solar}$, $R \sim 10 km$ \bullet
- A compact star forms, and the outer
- Wersand Staff's eventually exhaust their nuclear fuel and collapse under gravity. ightarrow
- Collapse is halted at nuclear density. ightarrow
- A compact star forms, and the outer layers are blown off as a supernova. ightarrow

NEUTRON STAR STRUCTURE







• Compact massive objects, $M \sim 1-2 M_{solar}$, $R \sim 10 \text{ km}$

Astrophysical Observables

- Ş spin frequency
- 8 Mass
- 8 Radius
- 8 moment of inertia
- 8 gravitational redshift
- 3 cooling



1.0

10

15

IT m

25

20 wavelength / Å z = 0.3

30



Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov equations of relativistic hydrostatic equilibrium:

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{G}{c^2} \frac{(m+4\pi pr^3)(\epsilon+p)}{r(r-2Gm/c^2)}$$
$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi \frac{\epsilon}{c^2} r^2$$

Friday 20 December 2013

Cold and dense nuclear matter



Relativistic Mean Field Model



Basic assumptions for describing nuclear and hypernuclear properties:

- nucleons and hyperons interact through meson exchange
- * assume that only low spin, isospin is needed (from OBEP)
- * natural parity: $\Pi = (-1)^J \rightarrow \pi$ -meson vanishes $T, J^{\Pi}: \sigma(0, 0+), \omega(0, 1-), \rho(1, 1-)$ $[\delta(1, 0+): not needed]$
- * σ-meson: mimics attractive potential nonlinearities of the σ-meson-field: needed for a correct compression modulus of nuclear matter
- * ω -meson: repulsive part of the potential
- *φ*-meson: isospin dependent part of the potential

Relativistic Mean Field Model



J. Schaffner and I. N. Mishustin, PRC 53, 1416 (1996)

THE HADRONIC PHASE

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m_{B} + g_{\sigma B}\sigma - g_{\omega B}\gamma_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho B}\gamma_{\mu}\tau_{B}^{\mu} \cdot \rho^{\bar{\mu}})\psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\sigma\partial^{\mu}\sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2}\right) - U(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\rho_{\mu\nu} \cdot \rho^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\rho_{\mu} \cdot \rho^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_{YY} + \sum_{e^{-},\mu^{-}} \bar{\psi}_{\lambda} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m)\psi_{\lambda}.$$

where, $U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{3} bm_N (g_{\sigma N} \sigma)^3 + \frac{1}{4} c (g_{\sigma N} \sigma)^4$.

Hyperon-Hyperon interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{YY} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \left(g_{\sigma^{*}B} \sigma^{*} - g_{\phi B} \gamma_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} \right) \psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma^{*} \partial^{\mu} \sigma^{*} - m_{\sigma^{*}}^{2} \sigma^{*2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^{2} \phi_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} .$$

Nucleon-meson coupling constants

THE HADRONIC PHASE

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m_{B} + g_{\sigma B}\sigma - g_{\omega B}\gamma_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho B}\gamma_{\mu}\tau_{B}^{\mu} \cdot \rho^{\bar{\mu}})\psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\sigma\partial^{\mu}\sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2}\right) - U(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\rho_{\mu\nu} \cdot \rho^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\rho_{\mu} \cdot \rho^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_{YY} + \sum_{e^{-},\mu^{-}}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m)\psi_{\lambda}.$$

where, $U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{3}bm_N (g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^3 + \frac{1}{4}c (g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^4$.

Hyperon-Hyperon interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{YY} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \left(g_{\sigma^{*}B} \sigma^{*} - g_{\phi B} \gamma_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} \right) \psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma^{*} \partial^{\mu} \sigma^{*} - m_{\sigma^{*}}^{2} \sigma^{*2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^{2} \phi_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} .$$

* Fit to nuclear matter (bulk properties)

* 5 coupling constants

* 5 properties of nuclear matter at saturation :

* saturation density $n_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, binding energy B/A = -16.3 MeV, asymmetry energy $a_{sym} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$ effective mass $m^*/m = 0.55-0.8$ incompressibility K = 200-300 MeV

Hyperon-meson coupling constants

THE HADRONIC PHASE

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m_{B} + g_{\sigma B}\sigma - g_{\omega B}\gamma_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho B}\gamma_{\mu}\tau_{B} \cdot \rho^{\overline{\mu}})\psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\sigma\partial^{\mu}\sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2}\right) - U(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\rho_{\mu\nu} \cdot \rho^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\rho_{\mu} \cdot \rho^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_{YY} + \sum_{e^{-},\mu^{-}} \bar{\psi}_{\lambda} (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m)\psi_{\lambda}.$$

where, $U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{3}bm_N (g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^3 + \frac{1}{4}c (g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^4$.

Hyperon-Hyperon interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{YY} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \left(g_{\sigma^{*}B} \sigma^{*} - g_{\phi B} \gamma_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} \right) \psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma^{*} \partial^{\mu} \sigma^{*} - m_{\sigma^{*}}^{2} \sigma^{*2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^{2} \phi_{\mu} \phi^{\mu} .$$

* SU(6) relations

$$\frac{1}{3}g_{\omega N} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\omega \wedge} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\omega \Sigma} = g_{\omega \Xi}$$
$$g_{\rho N} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho \Sigma} = g_{\rho \Xi}$$
$$g_{\rho \wedge} = 0$$

$$\frac{g_{\phi \wedge}}{g_{\omega N}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \ \frac{g_{\phi \equiv}}{g_{\omega N}} = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$$

* Hypernuclear data: Potential depths of hyperons in nuclear matter $U_Y^N = g_{\sigma Y} \sigma^{eq} + g_{\omega Y} \omega_0^{eq}$ $U_{\Lambda}^N = -30 MeV, U_{\Sigma}^N = +30 MeV, U_{\Xi}^N = -18 MeV$ $g_{\sigma^*N} = g_{\sigma^*Y} = 0$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

Equation of state

The total energy density, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_B + \varepsilon_l$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} + \frac{1}{3}g_{2}\sigma^{3} + \frac{1}{4}g_{3}\sigma^{4} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma^{*}}^{2}\sigma^{*2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^{2}\phi_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\rho_{03}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{B} \frac{2J_{B} + 1}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F_{B}}} (k^{2} + m_{B}^{*2})^{1/2}k^{2} \ dk \\ &+ \sum_{l} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{K_{F_{l}}} (k^{2} + m_{l}^{2})^{1/2}k^{2} \ dk \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} P &= -\frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{3}g_{2}\sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{4}g_{3}\sigma^{4} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^{2}*\sigma^{*2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^{2}\phi_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\rho_{03}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\sum_{B}\frac{2J_{B}+1}{2\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{k_{F_{B}}}\frac{k^{4} dk}{(k^{2}+m_{B}^{*2})^{1/2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\sum_{l}\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{K_{F_{l}}}\frac{k^{4} dk}{(k^{2}+m_{l}^{2})^{1/2}} \,. \end{split}$$





~~~~~~~~

### **Constraints from Neutron Star masses** : Relativistic binaries

#### Keplerian parameters

- Orbital period *P*<sub>b</sub>
- Projected semi-major axis  $x = (a_p \sin i) / c$
- Orbital eccentricity e
- Longitude of periastron  $\omega$
- Epoch of periastron passage To







#### **Post-Keplerian Parameters**

- Relativistic advance of periastron  $\dot{\omega}$
- Gravitational redshift and time dilation γ
- Orbital decay in period  $\dot{P}_b$
- Shapiro time delay (range r and shape s)



### Highest mass measurement : PSR J1614-2230



Lattimer and Prakash, arXiv:1012.3208



 $1.97{\pm}0.04\,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ 

Timing residual as a function of pulsar's orbital phase



Monte Carlo analysis: Probability density function

Demorest et al (Nature 2010)



### Constraining the EoS

 $M^{max}(theo) > M^{max}(obs)$ 



Lattimer and Prakash, arXiv:1012.3208

#### **Compression Modulus and EffectiveMass**



In the range 200 - 300 MeV, K plays virtually no role for m<sup>\*</sup><sub>N</sub> /m<sub>N</sub> ≤ 0.6, most prominent effect is for m<sup>\*</sup><sub>N</sub> /m<sub>N</sub> ≥0.7

• The most massive stars (up to ~ 2.9 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>) are obtained for low effective nucleon masses independently of the compression modulus, while for m\*<sub>N</sub> /m<sub>N</sub>  $\geq$  0.78 we cannot reach the mass limit of 1.97±0.04M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> if the compression modulus is too low.

• K is a good indicator for the stiffness of the nuclear matter EoS at low densities (around saturation), but the high density behavior is much more sensitive to  $m_N^*/m_N$ 

S. Weissenborn, D.C. and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Nucl. Phys. A 881 (2012) 62



#### Varying the Potential Depths

•We varied the coupling strength of scalar meson by changing the potential depths of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Xi$  hyperons in nuclear matter, keeping the  $\Lambda$  potential fixed.

• For deeper potential depths, EoS is softer and maximum masses smaller

• The effect of potential depths on EoS is not enough to raise maximum masses above observed value, unless the \$\phi\$ meson is included

S. Weissenborn, D.C. and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Nucl. Phys. A 881 (2012) 62

### **Beyond SU(6)**



$$\frac{M_{max}}{M_{\odot}} = \frac{M_{max}(f_s = 0)}{M_{\odot}} - c\left(\frac{f_s}{0.1}\right) \ , \ c \approx 0.6M_{\odot}$$

 $\bullet$  We plot the maximum masses of neutron stars as a function of strangeness fraction  $f_s$  (the number of strange quarks per baryon) for four different equations of state

• On decreasing the strangeness fraction in the core, there is a corresponding increase in the maximum mass of the star.

• At zero strangeness fraction the nucleonic limit is reached, and this corresponds to the highest value of the maximum mass.

• One can predict the maximally allowed strangeness fraction in maximum mass neutron stars.

S. Weissenborn, D.C. and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 065802

### K<sup>+</sup> meson production in heavy-ion collisions

KaoS experiment, GSI Darmstadt







# Subthreshold production of K<sup>+</sup> particles

- \*  $K^+$  particles produced by multiple NN collisions (NN  $\rightarrow$  NAK, NN  $\rightarrow$  NNK $\overline{K}$ ) or secondary collisions ( $\pi N \rightarrow AK, \pi A \rightarrow N\overline{K}$ )
- \* Nuclear matter compressed up to  $\sim 2-3 n_0$
- ★ Production of K<sup>+</sup> particles sensitive to the nuclear EoS
   ⇒ tool to probe compressibility of nuclear matter at ~ 2-3 n<sub>0</sub>





Sturm et al. (KaoS collaboration), PRL 2001



Hartnack, Oeschler, Aichelin, PRL 2006

- \* *K*<sup>+</sup> multiplicity ratio in Au+Au and C+C collisions at 0.8 AGeV and 1.0 AGeV is sensitive to the compression modulus of matter
- \* transport model calculations performed: Skyrme-type nucleon potential with 2BF, 3BF were applied, with parameters to reproduce a soft EoS (with K = 200 MeV) and a stiff one (with K = 380 MeV).
- *transport models agree, confirm that matter in the collision zone reaches densities up to 2-3 n*<sub>0</sub>
- \* only K~ 200 MeV can describe the data (KaoS collaboration, 2007)
- $\Rightarrow$  the nuclear EoS is soft!



## **EoS soft or stiff?**

- \* Stiffest causal EoS:  $p = \epsilon - \epsilon_f$  above the fiducial density  $\epsilon_f$
- \* at high densities, smooth transition to the stiffest EoS
- \* gives the highest possible mass of a compact star
- \* At low densities, EoS should satisfy KaoS constraint

## **Theoretical Models**

Brueckner Hartree Fock models (BHF)
 realistic N-N interactions

\* Phenomenological models
- Skyrme interactions (Bsk8, SLy4)

\* Relativistic Mean Field Models (RMF)

- with non-linear interaction of mesons

- parameter sets with different scalar and vector self-interactions

- coupling parameters fitted to properties of bulk nuclear matter (GL, GM1) or to properties of nuclei (NL3, TM1, FSUGold)



• the EoS is chosen so as to obtain a nucleon potential similar to or more attractive than the KaoS constraint within the density limits

- highest allowed NS mass  $3M_{sol}$  at  $n_{crit} \sim 2 n_0$ , smaller maximum mass obtained for  $n_{crit} \sim 3 n_0$
- higher *n*<sub>crit</sub> is, the later is the onset of the stiffest EoS in the star's interior, less mass is supported
- a pulsar of 2.7 $M_{sol}$  not ruled out by KaoS data, but requires a fiducial density of ~ 2.2 2.5  $n_0$

I. Sagert, L. Tolos, D. Chatterjee, J. Schaffner-Bielich and C. Sturm, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 065802

### Neutron Star Oscillations : Asteroseismology





Non-radial Oscillations: f-modes: fundamental g-modes: byuoyancy p-modes: pressure R-modes: Coriolis force w-modes: space-time

p-mode

r-mode



G W detectors

### **R-modes: probe of NS interior**



co-rotating



inertial

- \* *R*-modes are generic to all rotating neutron stars
- \* They are unstable by the CFS mechanism: R- mode amplitude grows under the effect of its own gravitational radiation-reaction; possible sources of GW
- \* The instability can be damped by (shear, bulk) viscosity, which depend on the composition of the neutron star interior
- \* Shear viscosity results from momentum transport due to particle scattering; For normal fluids, the main contribution comes from n-n scattering
- \* Bulk viscosity results from variation in pressure and density when the system is driven away from beta equilibrium
- \* timescale associated with growth/dissipation  $\tau_{\zeta, \eta} \gg \tau_{GW}$ : r-mode unstable, star spins down  $\tau_{\zeta, \eta} \ll \tau_{GW}$ : r-mode damped, star can spin rapidly

### **R-mode instability window**

Possible sources of bulk viscosity:

\* Leptonic weak processes

direct Urca process:

$$n \to p + e^- + \bar{\nu_e}$$
$$p + e^- \to n + \nu_e$$

modified Urca process:

- $n + N \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu_e} + N$  $p + e^- + N \rightarrow n + \nu_e + N$
- \* Non-leptonic processes involving hyperons, Bose condensates or quarks

$$\begin{array}{l} n+p \leftrightarrow p+\Lambda \\ n+n \leftrightarrow n+\Lambda \\ \\ n \rightarrow p+K^{-} \\ \\ u+d \leftrightarrow u+s \end{array}$$



- *r*-mode instability damped by leptonic bulk viscosity at high T and non-leptonic bulk viscosity at low T
- \* In the intermediate T regime, there exists an Instability window

D.C. and D. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 023003

### **Current Interest: Magnetars**

Ultra strong magnetic field  $B \sim 10^{15} G$ 

- Identified with AXPs+SGRs
- *Slow rotators (Spin period ~ 5-10 s)*
- *Rapid spin-down due to magneto-dipolar losses*
- *Highly magnetized!*
- Direct measurements of the field (Ibrahim et al.)
- Interior field could be much higher!





#### Magnetar burst sequence



### EFFECT OF MAGNETIC FIELD

• Magnetic field may influence the EoS in 2 ways :

• In the presence of the magnetic field, the motion of the charged particles is Landau quantized in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field.

• Background magnetic field breaks the spherical symmetry of the system

• This results in pressure anisotropy - the pressure longitudinal to the field is distinct from the pressure transverse to the field

• The aim is to calculate stationary and axisymmetric rotating NS models with strong magnetic field within the framework of GR

• At large magnetic fields, one should solve Einstein's equations in an axisymmetric metric, which is determined self consistently from the axisymmetric energy-momentum tensor of the star, and solve it numerically

• The problem can be formulated on the basis of the 3+1 Formalism (Bonazzolla, Gourgoulhon, Salgado, Marck, 1993)





### Neutron stars are perfect astrophysical laboratories for ..



EoS of cold and dense matter
 shear and bulk viscosity
 oscillation modes and gravitational waves
 physics in ultra strong magnetic fields