Magnetohydrodynamics in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes: A fully covariant approach
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A fully geometrical treatment of general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics is developed under the hypotheses of perfect conductivity, stationarity, and axisymmetry. The spacetime is not assumed to be circular, which allows for greater generality than the Kerr-type spacetimes usually considered in general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics. Expressing the electromagnetic field tensor solely in terms of three scalar fields related to the spacetime symmetries, we generalize previously obtained results in various directions. In particular, we present the first relativistic version of the Solov'ev transfield equation, subspecies of which lead to fully covariant versions of the Grad-Shafranov equation and of the Stokes equation in the hydrodynamical limit. We have also derived, as another subcase of the relativistic Solov'ev equation, the equation governing magnetohydrodynamical equilibria with purely toroidal magnetic fields in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

General relativistic magnetohydrodynamics (GRMHD) is a rapidly developing field of modern astrophysics [1–3], driven by numerous observations of accretion disks around black holes [4], jets in active galactic nuclei or microquasars [5,6], gamma ray bursts, hypernovae, pulsars [1] and strongly magnetized neutron stars (magnetars). In a first approximation, all these systems are stationary and axisymmetric. While GRMHD had been formulated by Lichnerowicz in 1967 [7], its development for stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes originates in the work of Bekenstein and Oron (1978) [8] (hereafter BO) and Carter (1979) [9]. In particular, BO have established two conservation laws associated with the spacetime symmetries, the first of them being a generalization of the Bernoulli theorem to the case of a magnetized fluid.

Another important step has been the GR generalization of the famous Grad-Shafranov equation to the Schwarzschild spacetime by Mobarry and Lovelace (1986) [10] and to the Kerr spacetime by Nitta, Takahashi and Tomimatsu (1991) [11] and Beskin and Pariev (1993) [12]. The extension of the Grad-Shafranov equation to the most general stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes has been performed by Ioka and Sasaki (2003) [13], most general meaning without the assumption of circularity (also called orthogonal transitivity), which holds for the Kerr spacetime.

All the studies mentioned above either (i) involve coordinate-dependent quantities or (ii) introduce some extra structure in spacetime, such as foliations by 2-surfaces, in addition to the canonical structures induced by the two spacetime symmetries (stationarity and axisymmetry).

In this article, we undertake a systematic study of stationary and axisymmetric GRMHD relying solely on the spacetime structure induced by the spacetime symmetries. To this aim, we make an extensive use of Cartan’s exterior calculus, relying on the nature of the electromagnetic field as a 2-form and the well-known formulation of Maxwell’s equations by means of the exterior derivative operator. We also employ the possibly less well-known formulation of hydrodynamics in terms of the fluid vorticity 2-form, originating in the works of Synge [16] and Lichnerowicz [17]. This enables us to formulate GRMHD entirely in terms of exterior forms. Such an approach is not only elegant and fully covariant, but also makes easier some calculations which turn to be tedious in the component approach. We pay attention to keeping hypotheses to a strict minimum, which allows us to present the results in their most general form, including noncircular spacetimes, and to encompass some special cases that had not been considered before, in particular, those corresponding to a pure rotational fluid.
motion (no meridional circulation) or to a purely toroidal magnetic field.

The plan of the article is as follows. In Sec. II we establish the most general form of a stationary and axisymmetric electromagnetic field, independently of any MHD context. In Sec. III, we introduce the concept of a perfect conductor and in Sec. IV that of a perfect fluid, leading to the MHD-Euler equation. We also derive two Bernoulli-like conservation laws in that section. Section V is devoted to the integration of the MHD-Euler equation by its reduction to the master transfield equation, a relativistic generalization of the Solov'ev transfield equation. Various subcases of that equation are examined in Sec. VI, making the link with preceding results in the literature. Finally, Sec. VII provides a summary and concluding remarks.

II. STATIONARY AND AXISYMMETRIC ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

A. Framework and notations

We consider a spacetime \((\mathcal{M}, g)\), i.e. a four-dimensional real manifold \(\mathcal{M}\) endowed with a Lorentzian metric \(g\) of signature \((-\cdot, +\cdot, +, +)\). We assume that \(\mathcal{M}\) is orientable (see Appendix B) so that we have at our disposal the Levi-Civita tensor \(\varepsilon\) (also called volume element) associated with the metric \(g\) [cf. Eq. (B1)]. Let \(\nabla\) be the covariant derivative associated with \(g\): \(\nabla g = 0\) and \(\nabla \varepsilon = 0\).

We shall mostly use an index-free notation, denoting vectors on \(\mathcal{M}\), and more generally tensors, by boldface symbols. Given a vector \(\mathbf{v}\), we denote by \(\mathbf{v}\) the linear form associated to \(\mathbf{v}\) by the metric tensor, i.e. the linear form defined by

\[\mathbf{v} := g(\mathbf{v}, \cdot).\]  
(2.1)

Besides, given a linear form \(\omega\), we denote by \(\omega\) the vector associated to \(\omega\) by the metric tensor:

\[\omega := g(\cdot, \omega).\]  
(2.2)

In a given basis \((e_\alpha)\), where the components of \(g\), \(\mathbf{v}\) and \(\omega\) are \(g_{\alpha\beta}\), \(v^\alpha\) and \(\omega_\alpha\), respectively, the components of \(\mathbf{v}\) and \(\mathbf{v}\) are \(v_\alpha = g_{\alpha\mu}v^\mu\) and \(\omega^\alpha = g^{\alpha\mu}\omega_\mu\).

Given a vector \(\mathbf{v}\) and a tensor \(\mathbf{T}\) of type \((0, n)\) \((n \geq 1)\), i.e. a \(n\)-linear form (a linear form for \(n = 1\), a bilinear form for \(n = 2\), etc.), we denote by \(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{T}\) (resp. \(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{v}\)) the \((n - 1)\)-linear form obtained by setting the first (resp. last) argument of \(\mathbf{T}\) to \(\mathbf{v}\):

\[\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{T} := T(v, \ldots, \cdot),\]  
(2.3a)

\[\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{v} := T(\cdot, \ldots, \mathbf{v}).\]  
(2.3b)

Thanks to the above conventions, we may write the scalar product of two vectors \(\mathbf{u}\) and \(\mathbf{v}\) as

\[g(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} = g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}).\]  
(2.4)

We denote by \(\nabla\cdot\) the covariant divergence, with contraction taken on the last index. For instance, for a tensor field \(\mathbf{T}\) of type \((2, 0)\), \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{T}\) is the vector field defined by

\[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} := \nabla_\mu T^{\alpha\mu}.\]  
(2.5)

where \((e_\alpha)\) is the vector basis with respect to which the components \(\nabla_\gamma T^{\alpha\beta}\) of \(\nabla \mathbf{T}\) are taken. Note that the convention for the divergence does not follow the rule for the contraction with a vector: in (2.3a) the contraction is performed on the first index.

B. Stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes

We assume that the spacetime \((\mathcal{M}, g)\) possesses two symmetries: (i) stationarity: there exists a group action of \((\mathbb{R}, +)\) on \(\mathcal{M}\) whose orbits are timelike curves and which leaves \(g\) invariant; (ii) axisymmetry: there exists a group action of \(\text{SO}(2)\) on \(\mathcal{M}\) whose fixed points form a two-dimensional submanifold \(\Delta \subset \mathcal{M}\) and which leaves \(g\) invariant (see e.g. Ref. [18] for an extended discussion). \(\Delta\) is called the rotation axis. To each parametrization of the one-dimensional Lie groups \((\mathbb{R}, +)\) and \(\text{SO}(2)\), there corresponds a parametrization of the action orbits; the corresponding tangent vector fields, called the generators of the symmetry group, are denoted \(\xi\) for \((\mathbb{R}, +)\) and \(\chi\) for \(\text{SO}(2)\). The invariance of the metric under the actions of \((\mathbb{R}, +)\) and \(\text{SO}(2)\) is translated by the vanishing of the Lie derivative of \(g\) along each generator:

\[\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\chi g = 0.\]  
(2.6)

The definition of the Lie derivative is recalled in Appendix A. Thanks to the expression (A8) and to the identity \(\nabla_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = 0\), Eqs. (2.6) are equivalent to the so-called Killing equations:

\[\nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta + \nabla_\beta \xi_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\alpha \chi_\beta + \nabla_\beta \chi_\alpha = 0.\]  
(2.7)

The group generators \(\xi\) and \(\chi\) are then called Killing vectors. For a given group action, a Killing vector is defined up to a constant factor, corresponding to the change of parametrization of the group. Regarding the \(\text{SO}(2)\) action, we can specify uniquely the Killing vector \(\chi\) by demanding that it corresponds to the standard parametrization of the group \(\text{SO}(2)\), i.e. by selecting the parameter \(\varphi\) as being the rotation angle \(\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]\). For the \((\mathbb{R}, +)\) action, there is a priori no natural scaling of the parameter \(\tau \in \mathbb{R}\). But if the spacetime is asymptotically flat, we may fix the scaling by demanding that \(\xi\) has the standard normalization at infinity:

\[\xi \cdot \xi \rightarrow -1.\]  
(2.8)

For a spacetime that is both stationary and axisymmetric, Carter [19] has shown that no generality is lost by considering that the stationary and axisymmetric actions commute. In other words, the spacetime \((\mathcal{M}, g)\) is invariant under the action of the Abelian group \((\mathbb{R}, +) \times \text{SO}(2)\), and not only
under the actions of \((\mathbb{R},+)\) and \(SO(2)\) separately. It is equivalent to say that the Killing vectors commute:

\[
[\xi, \chi] = 0. \tag{2.9}
\]

Thanks to the property (2.9), one may introduce coordinates \((x^a) = (t, x^1, x^2, \varphi)\) on \(\mathcal{M}\) such that

\[
\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}. \tag{2.10}
\]

Such coordinates are called adapted to the spacetime symmetries. Within them, the metric components are functions of \((x^1, x^2)\) only:

\[
g_{\alpha \beta} = g_{\alpha \beta}(x^1, x^2). \tag{2.11}
\]

Adapted coordinate systems are by no means unique: any change of the type

\[
t' = t + F_0(x^1, x^2),
\]

\[
x'^1 = F_1(x^1, x^2),
\]

\[
x'^2 = F_2(x^1, x^2),
\]

\[
\varphi' = \varphi + F_3(x^1, x^2),
\]

where \(F_a(x^1, x^2)\) are well-behaved functions, leads to coordinates that are still adapted to the spacetime symmetries.

Using the same notation as Carter in his Les Houches lecture [20], we introduce the following scalar fields:

\[
V := -\xi \cdot \xi, \tag{2.13}
\]

\[
W := \xi \cdot \chi, \tag{2.14}
\]

\[
X := \chi \cdot \chi, \tag{2.15}
\]

\[
\sigma := -\det\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi & \xi & \xi \\
\chi & \xi & \chi \\
\chi & \chi & \chi
\end{array}\right] = VX + W^2. \tag{2.16}
\]

Since \(\xi\) is assumed to be timelike, we have \(V > 0\). Besides, since \(\chi\) is spacelike, \(X > 0\), except on the rotation axis \(\Delta\) where \(X = 0\). Consequently, \(\sigma > 0\) except on \(\Delta\), where \(\sigma = 0\). For the Minkowski spacetime and using adapted coordinates \((t, r, \theta, \varphi)\) of spherical type,

\[
\text{Mink: } V = 1, \quad W = 0, \quad X = \sigma = r^2 \sin^2 \theta. \tag{2.17}
\]

We shall also need the Newtonian values of these fields to take nonrelativistic limits. In standard isotropic coordinates,

\[
\text{Newt: } \begin{cases}
V = 1 + 2\Phi_{\text{grav}}, \\
X = (1 - 2\Phi_{\text{grav}})r^2 \sin^2 \theta, \\
\sigma = r^2 \sin^2 \theta,
\end{cases} \quad W = 0 \tag{2.18}
\]

where \(\Phi_{\text{grav}}\) is the Newtonian gravitational potential, which obeys \(|\Phi_{\text{grav}}| \ll 1\). Note that throughout the article, we are using units such that \(c = 1\).

C. Orthogonal decomposition of the tangent spaces and circular spacetimes

The properties of stationarity and axisymmetry define privileged 2-surfaces \(S\) in spacetime; the surfaces of transitivity of the group action \((\mathbb{R},+) \times SO(2)\). They are spanned by coordinates \((t, \varphi)\) of the type (2.10) and the Killing vectors \((\xi, \chi)\) are everywhere tangent to them. Except on \(\Delta\), \((\xi, \chi)\) constitutes a vector basis of the 2-plane \(\Pi\) tangent to \(S\):

\[
\Pi = \text{Span}(\xi, \chi). \tag{2.19}
\]

The metric induced by \(g\) in the 2-plane \(\Pi\) being non-degenerate (\(\Pi\) is a timelike plane), the tangent space \(\mathcal{T}_s(M)\) at any point \(x \in \mathcal{M}\) can be orthogonally decomposed as the direct sum

\[
\mathcal{T}_s(M) = \Pi \oplus \Pi^\perp, \tag{2.20}
\]

where \(\Pi^\perp\) is the (spacelike) 2-plane orthogonal to \(\Pi\). A vector \(v \in \mathcal{T}_s(M)\) is said to be toroidal iff \(v \in \Pi\) with a nonvanishing component along \(\chi\) and poloidal or meridional iff \(v \in \Pi^\perp\).

A question that naturally arises is whether the decomposition (2.20) is integrable, i.e. whether there exists a family of 2-surfaces such that at every point \(\Pi^\perp\) is tangent to one of these surfaces, in the same way as the \(\Pi\) planes are everywhere tangent to the surfaces of transitivity \(S\). The spacetimes for which this property holds are called orthogonally transitive or circular [20,21]. According to the Frobenius theorem (see e.g. Appendix B of Ref. [22] or Sec. 7.2 of Ref. [23]), the necessary and sufficient conditions for \((\mathcal{M}, g)\) to be circular are

\[
C_\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_\chi = 0, \tag{2.21}
\]

where \(C_\xi\) and \(C_\chi\) are the two twist scalars defined by

\[
C_\xi := \star(\xi \wedge \chi \wedge d\xi) = \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \xi_\mu \chi_\nu \partial_\rho \xi_\sigma, \tag{2.22a}
\]

\[
C_\chi := \star(\xi \wedge \chi \wedge d\chi) = \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \xi_\mu \chi_\nu \partial_\rho \chi_\sigma. \tag{2.22b}
\]

In these equations, \(d\) is the exterior derivative, \(\wedge\) the exterior product and \(\star\) the Hodge star; all these operators are defined in Appendix B.

If \((\mathcal{M}, g)\) is circular, one may choose the adapted coordinates \((t, x^1, x^2, \varphi)\) so that \((x^1, x^2)\) span the 2-surfaces orthogonal to the surfaces of transitivity. This leads to the following simplifications in the components of the metric tensor:

\[
g_{01} = g_{02} = g_{31} = g_{32} = 0 \quad \text{circular spacetime).} \tag{2.23}
\]

Examples of circular spacetimes are the Kerr-Newman spacetime (cf. Appendix D) and the spacetime generated by a rotating fluid star with a purely poloidal magnetic field [24,25] or a purely toroidal one [26,27]. In this article, we do not restrict ourselves to the circular case.
D. Stationary and axisymmetric electromagnetic field

We consider an electromagnetic field in \( \mathcal{M} \), described by the electromagnetic 2-form \( F \), which obeys Maxwell equations:

\[
\mathbf{d} F = 0, \tag{2.24}
\]

\[
\mathbf{d} \star F = \mu_0 \star j, \tag{2.25}
\]

where \( \mu_0 \) is the vacuum permeability.

Invoking the Poincaré lemma, we conclude that there exist (at least locally) two scalar fields \( H \) and \( \chi \), in a way fully analogous with (2.6):

\[
\mathcal{L}_\xi F = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\chi F = 0. \tag{2.28}
\]

Now, thanks to the Cartan identity (B21) and the Maxwell equation (2.24), \( \mathcal{L}_\xi F = \xi \cdot dF + d(\xi \cdot F) = d(\xi \cdot F) \).

Hence Eqs. (2.28) are equivalent to

\[
\mathbf{d}(\xi \cdot F) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d}(\chi \cdot F) = 0.
\]

Invoking the Poincaré lemma, we conclude that there exist (at least locally) two scalar fields \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) such that

\[
\xi \cdot F = -\mathbf{d}\Phi, \tag{2.29}
\]

\[
\chi \cdot F = -\mathbf{d}\Psi. \tag{2.30}
\]

We may then assert that the most general form of a stationary and axisymmetric electromagnetic field is

\[
F = d\Phi \wedge \xi^* + d\Psi \wedge \chi^* + \frac{1}{\sigma} I(\xi, \chi, \ldots), \tag{2.35}
\]

where the 1-forms \( (\xi^*, \chi^*) \) constitute the dual basis of the vector basis \((\xi, \chi)\) of the plane \( \Pi \) defined by Eq. (2.19) and vanish on \( \Pi \)'s orthogonal complement:

\[
\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Pi^1, \quad \xi^* \cdot \mathbf{v} = \chi^* \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0. \tag{2.38}
\]

Conditions (2.37) and (2.38) define \( (\xi^*, \chi^*) \) uniquely. Indeed it is easy to see that, in terms of the scalars defined by (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), and (2.16),

\[
\xi^* = \frac{1}{\sigma} (-X\xi + W\chi) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi^* = \frac{1}{\sigma} (W\xi + V\chi). \tag{2.39}
\]

In terms of coordinates \((t, x^1, x^2, \varphi)\) adapted to the spacetime symmetries, we may express the 1-forms \( \xi^* \) and \( \chi^* \) as

\[
\xi^* = dt + \frac{1}{\sigma} (-X\xi_a + W\chi_a)dx^a, \tag{2.40a}
\]

\[
\chi^* = d\varphi + \frac{1}{\sigma} (W\xi_a + V\chi_a)dx^a, \tag{2.40b}
\]

where the index \( a \) ranges from 1 to 2. In particular, for circular spacetimes, \( \xi_a = g_{a0} = 0 \) and \( \chi_a = g_{a3} = 0 \) [cf. (2.23)], so that

\[
\xi^* = dt \quad \text{and} \quad \chi^* = d\varphi \quad \text{(circular spacetime).} \tag{2.41}
\]

To demonstrate (2.35) let us consider the 2-form

\[
G := F - d\Phi \wedge \xi^* - d\Psi \wedge \chi^*.
\]
It satisfies

\[ G(\xi, \ldots) = F(\xi, \ldots) - (\xi \cdot d\Phi)\xi^* + (\xi^* \cdot \xi)d\Phi \]

\[- (\xi \cdot d\Psi)\chi^* + (\chi^* \cdot \xi)d\Psi = 0.\]

Similarly, \( G(\chi, \ldots) = 0. \) Hence the 2-form \( G \) vanishes on the plane \( \Pi \), i.e. the nontrivial action of \( G \) takes place in the plane \( \Pi^\perp \). Another 2-form that shares the same properties as \( G \) is

\[ H := 1_\sigma e(\xi, \chi, \ldots). \]

Since the vector space of 2-forms in the 2-plane \( \Pi^\perp \) is of dimension one, \( G|_{\Pi^\perp} \) and \( H|_{\Pi^\perp} \) must be collinear. Since the \( H|_{\Pi^\perp} \) is not vanishing, we conclude that there must exist some coefficient \( I \) such that \( G|_{\Pi^\perp} = I H|_{\Pi^\perp} \). Since both 2-forms vanish on \( \Pi \), we may extend the equality to \( G \) and \( H \), thanks to the property (2.20):

\[ G = I H. \]

This proves that \( F \) takes the form (2.35). Using the properties (B12), it is then immediate to show that the Hodge dual of \( F \) is given by (2.36). On this form, we verify\(^2\) that \( *F(\xi, \chi) = I \), i.e. that the proportionality coefficient \( I \) introduced above is indeed the quantity defined by Eq. (2.34). This completes the proof of the decomposition (2.35) of \( F \).

Equation (2.35) shows that a stationary and axisymmetric electromagnetic field is entirely described by three scalar fields: \( \Phi, \Psi \) and \( I \). A concrete example is provided by the Kerr-Newman electromagnetic field presented in Appendix D. The component expression of (2.35) with respect to an adapted coordinate system is given in Appendix E.

**E. Maxwell equations**

The first Maxwell equation, Eq. (2.24), is automatically satisfied by the form (2.35) of \( F \), whatever the values of \( \Phi, \Psi \) and \( I \). Indeed, since \( d(d\Phi) = 0 \) [Eq. (B17)], we have, using the Leibniz rule (B18) with \( p = 1 \),

\[ dF = -d\Phi \wedge d\xi^* - d\Psi \wedge d\chi^* + d[1_\sigma^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \ldots)] \]

(2.42)

and each of the three terms in the right-hand side vanishes.

Regarding the first term, we have, via the Cartan identity,

\[ \xi \cdot d\xi^* = L_{\xi} \xi^* - d(\xi^* \cdot \xi) = 0. \]

Similarly, \( \chi \cdot d\chi^* = 0 \). Hence the 2-form \( d\xi^* \) vanishes on \( \Pi \). The same thing holds for the 1-form \( d\Phi \), by virtue of Eq. (2.33). Consequently, the 3-form \( d\Phi \wedge d\xi^* \) vanishes on \( \Pi \) and acts only in \( \Pi^\perp \). Since \( \dim \Pi^\perp = 2 \), the 3-form \( d\Phi \wedge d\xi^* \) necessarily vanishes on \( \Pi^\perp \). We thus conclude that \( d\Phi \wedge d\xi^* = 0 \) in all space. The same property holds for the 3-form \( d\Psi \wedge d\chi^* \). Finally, regarding the third term in (2.42), let us take its Hodge dual and write, using (B12),

\[ *d[1_\sigma^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \ldots)] = -*d (*I_\sigma^{-1} \xi \wedge \chi). \]

Now, the operator \( *d \) is the codifferential and can be expressed as the divergence taken with the \( \nabla \) connection: \( *d \ast (I_\sigma^{-1} \xi \wedge \chi) = \nabla \cdot (I_\sigma^{-1} \xi \wedge \chi) \). Now it is easy to see that \( \nabla \cdot (I_\sigma^{-1} \xi \wedge \chi) = I_\sigma^{-1}[\xi, \chi] = 0 \) by virtue of Eq. (2.29). Hence \( *d[1_\sigma^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \ldots)] = 0 \), which implies \( d[1_\sigma^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \ldots)] = 0 \). We conclude that (2.42) reduces to \( dF = 0 \), i.e. the first Maxwell equation (2.24).

The second Maxwell equation, Eq. (2.25), gives the electric 4-current \( j \). Let us first fix the first two arguments of each 3-form appearing in Eq. (2.25) to \( (\xi, \chi) \):

\[ \mu_0 e(j, \xi, \chi, \ldots) = d \ast F(\xi, \chi, \ldots). \]

(2.43)

Now, by means of the Cartan identity,

\[ d \ast F(\xi, \ldots) = L_{\xi} \ast F - d[*F(\xi, \ldots)] = -d[*F(\xi, \ldots)]. \]

Hence

\[ d \ast F(\xi, \chi, \ldots) = -\chi \cdot d[*F(\xi, \ldots)] \]

\[ = -\{L_{\chi}[*F(\xi, \ldots)] - d[*F(\xi, \chi, \ldots)]\} = dl. \]

Therefore Eq. (2.43) becomes

\[ \mu_0 e(j, \xi, \chi, \ldots) = dl. \]

(2.44)

We conclude that if the 4-current has some poloidal part, i.e. if \( j \notin \Pi \), then necessarily \( l \neq 0 \).

Taking the Hodge dual of (2.44) and applying the resulting 3-form to the couple \( (\xi, \chi) \) yields

\[ j = (\xi^* \cdot j) \xi + (\chi^* \cdot j) \chi - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \tilde{e}(\xi, \chi, \nabla l, \ldots). \]

(2.45a)

There remains to evaluate \( \xi^* \cdot j \) and \( \chi^* \cdot j \). To this aim, let us consider the Maxwell equation (2.25) in its dual form \( \nabla \cdot F = \mu_0 j \). Substituting (2.35) for \( F \) in it, expanding and making use of (2.39), (2.7), and (2.22), results in

\[ \mu_0 \xi^* \cdot j = \nabla_\mu \mu_\mu (\frac{W}{\sigma} \nabla_\mu \Phi - \frac{V}{\sigma} \nabla_\mu \Psi) + \frac{I}{\sigma^2} (\nabla C_\xi + WC_\chi) \]

(2.45b)

\[ \mu_0 \chi^* \cdot j = -\nabla_\mu (\frac{W}{\sigma} \nabla_\mu \Phi + \frac{V}{\sigma} \nabla_\mu \Psi) + \frac{I}{\sigma^2} (WC_\xi + V C_\chi) \]

(2.45c)

where the twist scalars \( C_\xi \) and \( C_\chi \) are defined by (2.22).

At the Newtonian limit, the electric 4-current \( j \) can be decomposed into the charge density \( \rho_e \) and the electric..
3-current \( J \), both measured by the stationary observer, according to \( j = \rho_0 \xi + J \) and \( \xi \cdot J = 0 \). From Eq. (2.45a), we get \( \rho_0 = \xi \cdot j \) and \( J = (\chi^* \cdot j) \chi - \mu_0 \sigma^{-1} \vec{e}(\xi, \chi, \vec{V} l) \). Choosing spherical coordinates \((t, r, \theta, \phi)\) and using Eq. (2.18) as well as Eq. (E1) with \( \sqrt{-g} = r^2 \sin \theta \) to express \( \vec{e}(\xi, \chi, \vec{V} l) \), we obtain the Newtonian limit of Eqs. (2.45) as

\[
\mu_0 \rho_0 = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r (r^2 \partial_r \Phi) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta \Phi),
\]

(2.46a)

\[
\mu_0 J = \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \left[ \partial_\theta (r \partial_r e_{(r)}) - \partial_r (r \partial_\theta e_{(r)}) - \Delta^* \Psi e_{(\phi)} \right].
\]

(2.46b)

where \((e_{(r)}, e_{(\theta)}, e_{(\phi)})\) is the standard orthonormal basis associated with spherical coordinates: \( e_{(r)} := \partial_r \), \( e_{(\theta)} := r^{-1} \partial_\theta \) and \( e_{(\phi)} := (r \sin \theta)^{-1} \partial_\phi \), and \( \Delta^* \) is the second-order differential operator defined by

\[
\Delta^* \Psi := \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\sin \theta}{r^2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} \right).
\]

(2.47)

III. PERFECT CONDUCTOR

A. Definition and first properties

From now on, we assume that a part \( D \subset M \) of spacetime is occupied by a perfect conductor. By this, we mean that \( D \) is covered by a congruence of timelike worldlines\(^3\) such that the observers associated with each worldline measure a vanishing electric field. This expresses the infinite conductivity condition via Ohm’s law. Let us recall that the electric field 1-form \( e \) and the magnetic field vector \( b \) measured by an observer of 4-velocity \( u \) are given in terms of \( F \) by

\[
e = F \cdot u \quad \text{and} \quad b = u \cdot F. \tag{3.1}
\]

Equivalently, \( F \) is entirely expressible in terms of \( e \), \( b \) and \( u \) as

\[
F = u \wedge e + e(u, b, \ldots), \tag{3.2a}
\]

\[
*F = -u \wedge b + e(u, \tilde{e}, \ldots). \tag{3.2b}
\]

The perfect conductor condition is \( e = 0 \). From (3.1), this is equivalent to

\[
F \cdot u = 0. \tag{3.3}
\]

The electromagnetic field then reduces to

\[
F = e(u, b, \ldots), \tag{3.4a}
\]

\[
*F = -u \wedge b. \tag{3.4b}
\]

Let us decompose the 4-velocity \( u \) orthogonally with respect to the 2-plane \( \Pi \), thereby introducing the scalars \( \lambda \) and \( \Omega \) and the vector \( w \):

\[
u = \lambda (\xi + \Omega \chi) + w, \quad w \in \Pi^\perp. \tag{3.5}
\]

The 4-velocity normalization relation \( u \cdot u = -1 \) is equivalent to the following relation between \( \lambda \), \( \Omega \) and \( w \) [cf. Eqs. (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), and (2.16)]:

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V - 2W \Omega - \Omega^2}}, \tag{3.6}
\]

For circular spacetimes and in coordinates \((t, x^1, x^2, \phi)\) adapted to the spacetime symmetries, one has \( w^0 = w^3 = 0 \) [Eq. (E1) below], so that \( d \phi / dt = u^3 / u^0 = \Omega \), showing that \( \Omega \) is the angular velocity of the fluid about the rotation axis. On the other side, we shall call \( \mathcal{W} \) the meridional velocity. We shall say that the fluid is in pure rotational motion iff \( w = 0 \); the 4-velocity \( u \) is then a linear combination of the two Killing vectors.

Cartan’s identity (B21), along with Maxwell equation (2.24) and the perfect conductor condition (3.3) gives \( \mathcal{L}_F u = u \cdot dF + d(u \cdot F) = 0 + 0 \), i.e.

\[
\mathcal{L}_F u = 0. \tag{3.7}
\]

This result is independent of the hypotheses of stationarity and axisymmetry and is the geometrical expression of Alfvén’s theorem about magnetic flux freezing.

From the very definition of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) [Eqs. (2.29) and (2.30)], we have \( \mathcal{L}_\Phi \Phi = u \cdot d\Phi = -F(\xi, u) \) and \( \mathcal{L}_\Psi \Psi = -F(\chi, u) \). The perfect conductor condition (3.3) gives then immediately

\[
\mathcal{L}_w \Phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_w \Psi = 0. \tag{3.8}
\]

Hence the potentials \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) are preserved along the fluid lines. The expansion (3.5) of \( u \) and the symmetry properties (2.33) show that (3.8) is actually equivalent to

\[
\mathcal{L}_w \Phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_w \Psi = 0. \tag{3.9}
\]

Let us express the perfect conductor condition (3.3) by replacing \( F \) by its expression (2.35); we get

\[
(\xi^* \cdot u) d\Phi - (d \Phi \cdot u) \xi^* + (\chi^* \cdot u) d\Psi - (d \Psi \cdot u) \chi^* \frac{I}{\sigma} e(\xi, \chi, w) = 0,
\]

where use has been made of (3.9). Since \( \lambda \neq 0 \) (otherwise \( u \) would be spacelike), we obtain

\[
d \Phi = -\Omega d\Psi + \frac{I}{\sigma \lambda} e(\xi, \chi, w). \tag{3.10}
\]

B. Conservation of baryon number and stream function

If \( n \) denotes the baryon number density in the fluid frame, the law of baryon number conservation is \( \nabla \cdot (nu) = 0 \), or equivalently, thanks to the decomposition (3.5) with \( \xi \) and \( \chi \) Killing vectors,
\[ \nabla \cdot (nw) = 0. \quad (3.11) \]

Thanks to the identities (B20) and (B7), we may rewrite the above property as

\[ d(n \star w) = 0. \quad (3.12) \]

From the Poincaré lemma (cf. Appendix B), we conclude that there exists a 2-form \( H \) such that

\[ dH = n \star w. \quad (3.13) \]

The above relation is analogous to Maxwell equation (2.25), via the identifications \( \star F \leftrightarrow H \) and \( \mu_0 j \leftrightarrow nw \). Consequently, the same reasoning that led to Eq. (2.44) results in

\[ ne(w, \xi, \chi, ...) = df, \quad (3.14) \]

where the scalar field \( f \) is related to \( H \) by the analogue of Eq. (2.34): \( f := H(\xi, \chi) \). We also have the analogue of Eq. (2.45a), with \( \xi^\prime \cdot w = 0 \) and \( \chi^\prime \cdot w = 0 \) in addition, since \( w \in \Pi^\perp \):

\[ w = -\frac{1}{\sigma n} \tilde{e}(\xi, \chi, \nabla f, ...). \quad (3.15) \]

This relation shows that the fluid meridional velocity \( w \) is entirely described by the scalar field \( f \); \( f \) is called the stream function (or Stokes stream function). From Eq. (3.14), we have immediately \( \xi \cdot df = 0 \) and \( \chi \cdot df = 0 \), which shows that \( f \) obeys the two spacetime symmetries. Moreover, a direct consequence of Eq. (3.15) is \( w \cdot df = 0 \). In view of Eq. (3.5), this yields

\[ L_{a}f = 0, \quad (3.16) \]

i.e. \( f \) is conserved along the fluid lines.

The Newtonian limit of Eq. (3.15) is easily taken via Eqs. (2.18) and (E1):

\[ \text{Newt: } w = \frac{1}{nr \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f e_{(r)}}{\partial r} - \partial_r f e_{(\theta)} \right]. \quad (3.17) \]

where the notation is the same as in Eq. (2.46).

Taking (3.14) into account, the perfect conductor relation (3.10) becomes

\[ df = -\Omega df + \frac{I}{\alpha n \lambda} df. \quad (3.18) \]

Thanks to Eq. (3.15), the condition \( w \cdot df = 0 \) [Eq. (3.9)] is equivalent to

\[ e(\xi, \chi, \nabla f, \nabla \Phi) = 0. \]

This relation is satisfied if, and only if, the 1-forms \( df \) and \( d\Phi \) are linearly dependent, i.e. if there exist some scalar fields \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) not simultaneously vanishing such that

\[ \alpha df + \beta df = 0. \quad (3.19) \]

Similarly the condition \( w \cdot d\Psi = 0 \) [Eq. (3.9)] leads to the existence of two scalar fields \( \alpha' \) and \( \beta' \) not simultaneously vanishing such that

\[ \alpha' df + \beta' df = 0. \quad (3.20) \]

C. Magnetic field in the fluid frame

The magnetic field in the fluid frame is obtained by substituting (3.5) for \( u \) and (2.36) for \( \star F \) in Eq. (3.1). We get

\[ b = \frac{\lambda}{\sigma} \left[ [I(W + X \Omega) - \lambda^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Psi, w)] \xi \quad + [I(V - W \Omega) + \lambda^{-1} e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Phi, w)] \chi \quad - (W + X \Omega) e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Phi, ...) \quad - (V - W \Omega) e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Psi, ...). \right]. \quad (3.21) \]

The above expression is fully general. We may specialize it to a perfect conductor by expressing \( e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Phi, ...) \) via Eqs. (3.18) and (3.15): \( e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Phi, ...) = -\Omega e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Psi, ...) - (I/\lambda) w \). Using (3.14), we get

\[ b = \frac{\lambda}{\sigma} \left[ [I(W + X \Omega) + \frac{1}{\lambda n} df \cdot \nabla \Psi] \xi \quad + \left[ I(V - W \Omega - \frac{w \cdot w}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\Omega}{\lambda n} df \cdot \nabla \Psi \right] \chi \quad - (V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2) e(\xi, \chi, \nabla \Psi, ...) \quad + \frac{I}{\lambda} (W + X \Omega) w \right]. \quad (3.22) \]

The Newtonian limit of this expression is readily obtained by means of Eqs. (2.18) and (E1), and after restoration of \( c^{-1} \) factors to cancel velocity terms. One gets, with the same notation as in Eq. (2.46),

\[ \text{Newt: } b = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi e_{(r)}}{\partial r} - \partial_r \Psi e_{(\theta)} + I e_{(\phi)} \right]. \quad (3.23) \]

Thanks to the properties \( \xi \cdot d\Psi = 0 \), \( \chi \cdot d\Psi = 0 \) [Eq. (2.33)] and \( w \cdot d\Psi = 0 \) [Eq. (3.9)], an immediate consequence of expression (3.22) is

\[ L_{a} \Psi = 0. \quad (3.24) \]

Hence, like the fluid lines, the magnetic field lines are contained in constant \( \Psi \) hypersurfaces.

IV. IDEAL MHD

A. Perfect fluid model

From now on, we assume that the fluid is a perfect one, i.e. that its energy-momentum tensor is given by

\[ T^\mu_\nu = (\varepsilon + p) u_\mu \otimes u_\nu + pg. \quad (4.1) \]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the proper energy density and \( p \) the fluid pressure. Moreover, we assume that the fluid is a simple fluid, i.e. that all the thermodynamical quantities depend
on the entropy density $s$ and on the proper baryon number density $n$. In particular,
\[ e = e(s, n). \quad (4.2) \]

The above relation is called the equation of state (EOS) of the fluid. The temperature $T$ and the baryon chemical potential $\mu$ are then defined by
\[ T := \frac{\partial e}{\partial s} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu := \frac{\partial e}{\partial n}. \quad (4.3) \]

As a consequence of the first law of thermodynamics, $p$ is a function of $(s, n)$ entirely determined by (4.2):
\[ p = -e + Ts + \mu n. \quad (4.4) \]

Let us introduce the enthalpy per baryon,
\[ h := \frac{e + p}{n} = \mu + Ts, \quad (4.5) \]

where $S$ is the entropy per baryon:
\[ S := \frac{s}{n}. \quad (4.6) \]

The second equality in (4.5) is an immediate consequence of (4.4).

**B. MHD-Euler equation**

The MHD-Euler equation stems from the conservation law of energy momentum:
\[ \nabla \cdot (T^0 + T^\text{em}) = 0, \quad (4.7) \]

where $T^\text{em}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field. As is well known,
\[ \nabla \cdot T^\text{em} = -F \cdot j. \quad (4.8) \]

On the other side, using the baryon number conservation $\nabla \cdot (nu) = 0$, the term $\nabla \cdot T^0$ can be decomposed into a part along $u$,
\[ \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \cdot T^0 = -nTu \cdot dS \quad (4.9) \]

and a part orthogonal to $u$ (see e.g. Ref. [28] for details):
\[ \perp_u \nabla \cdot T^0 = n[u \cdot d(hu) - TdS]. \quad (4.10) \]

The 2-form $d(hu)$ is called the vorticity 2-form; Eq. (4.10) has been obtained first by Synge (1937) [16] (special relativity and $T = 0$), Lichnerowicz (1941) [17] (general relativity and $T = 0$) and Taub (1959) [29] (general case) (see also [9]).

From the perfect conductor relation (3.3), we have $u \cdot F \cdot j = F(u, j) = 0$. Hence Eq. (4.8) has no component along $u$. Consequently, we deduce from Eqs. (4.8), (4.9), and (4.10) that the conservation law (4.7) is equivalent to the system
\[ \mathcal{L}_u S = 0, \quad (4.11) \]

We shall call Eq. (4.12) the MHD-Euler equation.

**C. Conserved quantities along the fluid lines**

For a pure rotational flow, $u$ is a linear combination of the two Killing vectors [Eq. (3.5) with $w = 0$] and every scalar field that obeys the spacetime symmetries is conserved along the fluid lines. This is no longer true for a flow with a meridional component ($w \neq 0$). However, in this case, one can derive two conservation laws of “Bernoulli” type, which we investigate here.

**I. Derivation**

Contracting the MHD-Euler equation (4.12) with the vector $\xi$ leads to
\[ \mathbf{u} \cdot d(hu) \cdot \xi = F(\xi, j)/n, \quad (4.13) \]

where we have used $\xi \cdot dS = 0$ since the entropy per baryon is supposed to respect the stationarity symmetry, as well as any fluid quantity. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_\xi (hu) = 0$ and we deduce from Cartan’s identity (B21) that
\[ d(hu) \cdot \xi = d(hu) \cdot \xi. \quad (4.14) \]

Besides, from the very definition of $\Phi$ [Eq. (2.29)], we have $F(\xi, j) = -j \cdot d\Phi$. Using expression (2.45a) for $j$, along with the symmetry properties $\xi \cdot d\Phi = 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \cdot d\Phi = 0$, leads then to
\[ F(\xi, j) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} e(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla \Phi). \quad (4.15) \]

Thanks to Eqs. (4.14), (4.15), and (4.13) becomes
\[ \mathcal{L}_u (hu) \cdot \xi = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma^n} e(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla \Phi). \quad (4.16) \]

Since we are considering a flow which is not purely rotational, $w \neq 0$ and, from Eq. (3.15), $df \neq 0$. Then the linear relation (3.20) between $d\Psi$ and $df$ can be rewritten as
\[ d\Psi = Cdf, \quad (4.17) \]

where $C$ is some scalar field which is necessarily a function of $f$, as a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1:** If $z$, $p$, and $y$ are three scalar fields on $\mathcal{M}$ such that
\[ dz = pdy \quad \text{and} \quad dy \neq 0, \quad (4.18) \]

then both $z$ and $p$ are functions of $y$, with $p$ being the derivative of $z$ with respect to $y$:
\[ z = z(y) \quad \text{and} \quad p = p(y) = z'(y). \quad (4.19) \]

**Proof:** Let us take the exterior derivative of Eq. (4.18) via Eq. (B18); thanks to identities $ddz = 0$ and $ddy = 0$ [Eq. (B17)], we get
\[ \text{If } \mathbf{d}p \neq 0, \text{ this implies that the hypersurfaces of constant } p \text{ coincide with the hypersurfaces of constant } y, \text{ from which we deduce that } p \text{ is a function of } y. \]

\[ \text{If } \mathbf{d}p = 0, \text{ then } p \text{ is constant and it can still be considered as a function of } y \text{ (constant function). Then we have } \mathbf{d}z = p(y) \mathbf{d}y, \text{ which shows that } z \text{ is nothing but a primitive of the function } p(y); \text{ thus } z = z(y) \text{ and } p(y) = z'(y), \text{ which completes the proof.} \]

Applying Lemma 1 to Eq. (4.17), we obtain

\[ C = C(f). \quad (4.20) \]

Since \( f \) is preserved along the fluid lines [Eq. (3.16)], we have of course the same property for the function \( C \):

\[ \mathcal{L}_u C = 0. \quad (4.21) \]

Combining Eqs. (4.17) and (3.18), we get

\[ \mathbf{d} \Phi = D \mathbf{d} f, \quad \text{with } D := -C \Omega + \frac{I}{\sigma n \lambda}. \quad (4.22) \]

From Lemma 1, we have \( D = D(f) \) and

\[ \mathcal{L}_u D = 0. \quad (4.23) \]

In view of (4.22) and (4.16) can be rewritten as

\[ \mathcal{L}_u (h \mathbf{u} \cdot \xi) = \frac{D}{\mu_0} \mathbf{e}(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla f). \]

Now, according to Eqs. (3.15) and (3.5),

\[ \mathbf{e}(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla f) = \sigma \mathbf{n} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d} l = \sigma \mathbf{n} u \cdot \mathbf{d} l = \sigma n \mathcal{L}_u I. \]

Thus

\[ \mathcal{L}_u (h \mathbf{u} \cdot \xi) = \frac{D}{\mu_0} \mathcal{L}_u I = \mathcal{L}_u \left( \frac{D I}{\mu_0} \right), \]

where the second equality follows from (4.23). We conclude that the scalar quantity

\[ E := -h \mathbf{u} \cdot \xi + \frac{D I}{\mu_0} \quad (4.24) \]

is conserved along the fluid lines

\[ \mathcal{L}_u E = 0. \quad (4.25) \]

Thanks to Eqs. (3.5), we may express \( E \) as

\[ E = \lambda h (V - W \Omega) + \frac{D I}{\mu_0}. \quad (4.26) \]

In the limit of a vanishing electromagnetic field \( (I = 0 \text{ and } C = 0) \), the conservation law (4.25) is nothing but the relativistic Bernoulli theorem (see e.g. Ref. [28]).

Repeating the same calculation, but with the Killing vector \( \chi \) instead of \( \xi \), we arrive at

\[ \mathcal{L}_u (h \mathbf{u} \cdot \chi) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{e}(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla \Psi), \quad (4.27) \]

instead of (4.16). Substituting Eq. (4.17) for \( \mathbf{d} \Psi \) and making use of Eq. (3.15), we get

\[ \mathcal{L}_u (h \mathbf{u} \cdot \chi) = \frac{C}{\mu_0} \mathcal{L}_u I = \mathcal{L}_u \left( \frac{C I}{\mu_0} \right), \]

where the second equality follows from (4.21). We conclude that the quantity

\[ L := h \mathbf{u} \cdot \chi - \frac{C I}{\mu_0} \quad (4.28) \]

is conserved along the fluid lines:

\[ \mathcal{L}_u L = 0. \quad (4.29) \]

Thanks to Eq. (3.5), we may express \( L \) as

\[ L = \lambda h (W + X \Omega) - \frac{C I}{\mu_0}. \quad (4.30) \]

The conserved quantities \( E \) and \( L \) can be considered as functions of \( f \):

\[ E = E(f) \quad \text{and} \quad L = L(f) \quad (4.31) \]

according to the following lemma:

**Lemma 2:** If \( \mathbf{d} f \neq 0 \), any scalar field which obeys to the spacetime symmetries and is preserved along the fluid lines is a function of \( f \).

**Proof:** Let \( z \) be a scalar field with the above properties. Then \( \mathcal{L}_u z = \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{d} z = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_u \chi = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d} z = 0 \) with \( \mathbf{w} \neq 0 \) (since \( \mathbf{d} f \neq 0 \) implies that \( \nabla \mathbf{w} \) lies along the orthogonal direction to \( \mathbf{w} \) in the plane \( \Pi \)). The latter being generated by \( \nabla f \) [cf. Eq. (3.15)], we have that \( \mathbf{d} z = \alpha \mathbf{d} f \) for some coefficient \( \alpha \). The application of Lemma 1 then completes the proof.

**2. Newtonian limits**

To take nonrelativistic limits, let us introduce the fluid mass density \( \rho \) and specific enthalpy \( H \) by

\[ \rho := m_b n \quad \text{and} \quad H := \frac{e_{\text{int}} + p}{\rho}, \quad (4.32) \]

where \( m_b = 1.66 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \) is some mean baryon mass and \( e_{\text{int}} := \varepsilon - m_b n \) is the fluid internal energy density. \( H \) is related to \( h \) via Eq. (4.5):

\[ h = m_b (1 + H), \quad (4.33) \]

with \( H \ll 1 \) at the nonrelativistic limit. In view of (2.18), the expansion of Eq. (3.6) leads to

\[ \text{Newt: } \lambda = 1 - \Phi_{\text{grav}} + \frac{v^2}{2}, \quad (4.34) \]
where \( v^2 := w \cdot w + \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \). Substituting expressions (2.18), (4.33), and (4.34), into Eqs. (4.26) and (4.30), we get the Newtonian limit of the conserved quantities \( E \) and \( L \):

\[
\text{Newt: } E_{mb} = I = H + \Phi_{grav} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{DL}{\mu_0 m_b}, \tag{4.35}
\]

\[
\text{Newt: } L_{mb} = \Omega r^2 \sin^2 \theta - \frac{CI}{\mu_0 m_b}. \tag{4.36}
\]

In the absence of electromagnetic field (\( I = 0 \)), we recognize in (4.35) the classical Bernoulli integral. Besides, if we combine Eqs. (3.17), (2.23), and (4.17), we recover the well-known property of collinearity of the poloidal magnetic field and meridional velocity:

\[
\text{Newt: } b_p = Cnw, \tag{4.37}
\]

where \( b_p \) is the part of \( b \) along \( e_{(r)} \) and \( e_{(\theta)} \) in Eq. (2.23).

3. Comparison with BO

The conservation laws (4.25) and (4.29) have been first established by BO [8]. They have expressed \( E \) and \( L \) in terms of the magnetic field \( b \) in the fluid frame, but it can be shown that their expressions are equivalent to (4.26) and (4.30). Actually, our derivation is slightly more general. Indeed, the BO expressions for \( E \) and \( L \) are\(^4\)

\[
E = - \left( h + \frac{b \cdot b}{\mu_0 n} \right) u \cdot \xi - \frac{C}{\mu_0} [u \cdot (\xi + \omega \chi)](b \cdot \xi), \tag{4.38}
\]

\[
L = \left( h + \frac{b \cdot b}{\mu_0 n} \right) u \cdot \chi + \frac{C}{\mu_0} [u \cdot (\xi + \omega \chi)](b \cdot \chi), \tag{4.39}
\]

where \( \omega \) is defined by BO in terms of the components of the electromagnetic field tensor as\(^5\)

\[
\omega := - \frac{F_{01}}{F_{31}} = - \frac{F_{02}}{F_{32}}. \tag{4.40}
\]

Using Eqs. (E2a) and (E2b), we see that, within our notations, \( \omega \) is the proportionality factor between the gradients of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \):

\[
\text{d} \Phi = - \omega \text{d} \Psi. \tag{4.41}
\]

Combining Eqs. (3.18) and (4.17), we get an expression of \( \omega \) in terms of previously introduced quantities:

\[
\omega \text{d} \Psi = - \text{d} \Phi.
\]

On Eq. (4.41) we see the slight shortcoming of BO expressions for \( E \) and \( L \): if the electromagnetic field is such that \( d \Psi = 0 \) while \( d \Phi \neq 0 \) (purely toroidal magnetic field, cf. Sec. V1D), then \( \omega \) is ill defined: \( \omega \rightarrow \infty \). This corresponds to \( F_{31} = F_{32} = 0 \) or \( C = 0 \) [cf. Eq. (4.17)]. In contrast, our expressions (4.26) and (4.30) for \( E \) and \( L \), and the derivation of their constancy along the streamlines, are valid even in the special case \( d \Psi = 0 \). Note however that BO formulas (4.38) and (4.39) give finite expressions when \( \omega \rightarrow \infty (\Leftrightarrow C \rightarrow 0) \), since Eq. (4.42) shows that

\[
C \omega = C \Omega - \frac{I}{\sigma n \lambda} = - \frac{I}{\sigma n \lambda} \quad \text{when } C \rightarrow 0.
\]

V. INTEGRATING THE MHD-EULER EQUATION

A. Explicit form of the MHD-Euler equation

Let us first evaluate the 1-form \( u \cdot \text{d}(hu) \) that appears in the left-hand side of the MHD-Euler equation (4.12), by means of the decomposition (3.5) of \( u \). We first decompose the 1-form \( u \cdot \text{d}(hu) \) orthogonally with respect to the plane \( \Pi \) by writing

\[
u \cdot \text{d}(hu) = Z + \alpha \xi^* + \beta \chi^*.
\]

where \( Z \) is a 1-form that vanishes in \( \Pi \) and the coefficients \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are determined via the properties (2.37): \( \alpha = u \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \xi \) and \( \beta = u \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \chi \). Using the Cartan identity (B21), we get

\[
\alpha = -[\xi \cdot \text{d}(hu)] \cdot u = -[\xi \cdot \text{d}(hu)] \cdot u
\]

\[
= u \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \xi = w \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \xi.
\]

Similarly \( \beta = w \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \chi \). Hence

\[
u \cdot \text{d}(hu) = Z + [w \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \xi] \xi^* + [w \cdot \text{d}(hu) \cdot \chi] \chi^*.
\]

Besides, from the decomposition (3.5) of \( u \), we have

\[
u \cdot \text{d}(hu) = u \cdot \text{d}r + u \cdot \text{d}(hw),
\]

where we have introduced the 1-form

\[
r := \lambda h(\xi + \Omega \chi).
\]

We have, using the Cartan identity,

\[
u \cdot \text{d}r = \lambda \xi \cdot \text{d}r + \lambda \Omega \chi \cdot \text{d}r + w \cdot \text{d}r
\]

\[
= \lambda [\xi \cdot \text{d}r] + \lambda \Omega [\chi \cdot \text{d}r] + \lambda \Omega [\chi \cdot \text{d}r]
\]

\[
+ w \cdot \text{d}r
\]

\[
= - \lambda \text{d}(hu) \cdot \xi - \lambda \Omega \text{d}(hu) \cdot \chi + w \cdot \text{d}r.
\]

\[
\text{d} \Phi = - \omega \text{d} \Psi. \tag{4.41}
\]
Now, the Hodge dual of relation (2.22a) gives
\[ \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{w}) = q(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \ldots ). \] (5.5)
The coefficient \( q \) is determined by the Hodge duality:
\[ q = \frac{1}{\sigma} e_{\mu\nu\rho}\mathbf{\xi}_\mu \mathbf{\chi}_\nu \nabla_\rho (h\mathbf{w}_\chi) = -\nabla_\rho \left( \frac{h}{\sigma n} \nabla_\mu f \right), \] (5.6)
where the second equality results from Eq. (3.15).

Collecting (5.4) and (5.5), we rewrite (5.2) as
\[ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u}) = -\lambda \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi}) - \lambda \Omega \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{r} + \frac{q}{n} \mathbf{d}f, \] (5.7)
where we have used the property (3.14): \( e(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{w}, \ldots ) = n^{-1} \mathbf{d}f \).

Let us employ (5.7) to evaluate the 1-form \( Z \) acting in the plane \( \Pi ^{\perp} \). Given a generic vector \( \mathbf{v} \in \Pi ^{\perp} \), we have
\[ Z \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \]
\[ = -\lambda \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi}) - \lambda \Omega \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) + \frac{q}{n} \mathbf{d}f. \] (5.8)

There remains to evaluate \( \mathbf{d}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \); from (5.3), we have
\[ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \lambda h[\mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) + \Omega \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})], \]
where we have used the property \( (\mathbf{d}\mathbf{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{\chi})(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = 0 \), resulting from \( \mathbf{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \). By a straightforward calculation\(^6\) one can show the identity
\[ (\mathbf{\xi} \wedge \mathbf{\chi} \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi})(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = -\sigma \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}). \]

Now, the Hodge dual of relation (2.22a) gives
\[ \mathbf{\xi} \wedge \mathbf{\chi} \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi} = -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi}. \]

Hence
\[ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi}}{\sigma n} e(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi}}{\sigma n} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{d}f, \]
where the second equality results from Eq. (3.14). Using the similar relation for \( \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \), we arrive at
\[ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\lambda h}{\sigma n} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi} + \Omega \mathbf{C}\mathbf{\chi}) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{d}f. \]

Substituting in Eq. (5.8), we get
\[ Z = -\lambda \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi}) - \lambda \Omega \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}) + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{q} + \frac{\lambda h}{\sigma} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi} + \Omega \mathbf{C}\mathbf{\chi}) \mathbf{d}f. \]

Finally, Eq. (5.1) becomes
\[ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u}) = [\omega \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi})]^{\ast} + [\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi})]^{\ast} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{q} + \frac{\lambda h}{\sigma} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi} + \Omega \mathbf{C}\mathbf{\chi}) \mathbf{d}f - \lambda \Omega \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}). \] (5.9)

Let us now evaluate the Lorentz force term on the right-hand side of the MHD-Euler equation (4.12). Given the generic form (2.35) of \( \mathbf{F} \), we have
\[ \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{j} = (\mathbf{\xi}^{\ast} \cdot \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{d}\Phi + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}))^{\ast} \]
\[ + (\mathbf{\chi}^{\ast} \cdot \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{d}\Psi - \frac{I}{\mu_0} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{j} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\phi}. \] (5.10)

In view of Eqs. (5.9) and (5.10), the MHD-Euler equation (4.12) becomes
\[ \left[ \omega \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi}) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma n} e(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{\nabla}I, \mathbf{\nabla}\Phi) \right]^{\ast} \]
\[ + \left[ \omega \cdot \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma n} e(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{\nabla}I, \mathbf{\nabla}\Psi) \right]^{\ast} \]
\[ + \frac{I}{\mu_0 \sigma n} \mathbf{d}I - \lambda \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\xi}) - \lambda \Omega \mathbf{d}(h\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{q} + \frac{\lambda h}{\sigma} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\xi} + \Omega \mathbf{C}\mathbf{\chi}) \mathbf{d}f - \frac{\mathbf{\xi}^{\ast} \cdot \mathbf{j}}{n} \mathbf{d}\Phi \]
\[ - \mathbf{\chi}^{\ast} \cdot \mathbf{j} \mathbf{d}\Psi - T \mathbf{d}\mathbf{S} = 0. \] (5.11)

This equation expresses the vanishing of a 1-form. The parts along \( \mathbf{\xi}^{\ast} \) and \( \mathbf{\chi}^{\ast} \) vanish identically in the 2-plane \( \Pi ^{\perp} \) [cf. Eq. (2.38)]. On the contrary, all the remaining parts, being proportional to gradient of symmetric scalar fields, vanish identically in the 2-plane \( \Pi = \text{Span}(\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}) \). Each tangent space to \( \mathcal{M} \) being the direct sum of \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi ^{\perp} \) [Eq. (2.20)] and \( (\mathbf{\xi}^{\ast}, \mathbf{\chi}^{\ast}) \) being a basis of the dual space to \( \Pi \), we deduce that Eq. (5.11) is equivalent to the system of three equations:

---

\(^6\)One may employ formula (B5) to express \( \mathbf{\chi} \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi} \) and formula (B3) with \( p = 1 \) and \( q = 3 \) to compute \( \mathbf{\xi} \wedge (\mathbf{\chi} \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathbf{\xi}) \) on the quadruplet \( (\mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\chi}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \).
w \cdot d(hu \cdot \xi) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma n} \epsilon(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla \Phi) = 0, \quad \text{(5.12a)}
\lambda d(hu \cdot \xi) + \Psi d(hu \cdot \chi) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma n} \epsilon(\xi, \chi, \nabla I, \nabla \Psi) = 0, \quad \text{(5.12b)}
\lambda d(hu \cdot \xi) + \lambda \Omega d(hu \cdot \chi) - \frac{1}{n} \left[ q + \frac{\lambda h}{\sigma} (C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) \right] df
+ \frac{\xi^* \cdot j}{n} d\Phi + \frac{\chi^* \cdot j}{n} d\Psi - \frac{l}{\mu_0 \sigma n} dI + T dS = 0. \quad \text{(5.12c)}

B. Introducing the master potential

In view of relations (3.19) and (3.20), the three linear forms $d \Phi$, $d \Psi$ and $d f$ are collinear to each other. If one of the fields $\Phi$, $\Psi$ or $f$ is such that it gradient is nonvanishing, then by virtue of Lemma 1 (cf. Sec. IV C 1), the two other fields can be considered as function of it. The standard approach in GRMHD is to privilege the field $\Psi$. However this leads to degenerate equations when $d \Psi = 0$, which corresponds to purely toroidal magnetic fields or to the hydrodynamical limit (vanishing electromagnetic field). The same problem occurs if one selects $\Phi$ or $f$ instead of $\Psi$ (for instance selecting $f$ leads to degenerate equations in the case of a pure rotational flow). To be fully general, we adopt instead an approach introduced in nonrelativistic MHD by Tkalich [30,31] and Soloviev [32], namely, we consider a fourth field $Y$ such that (i) $Y$ obeys both space-time symmetries, (ii) $d Y$ is never vanishing and (iii) there exists three scalar fields $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that

\[ d \Phi = \alpha d Y, \quad d \Psi = \beta d Y, \quad d f = \gamma d Y. \quad \text{(5.13)} \]

The existence of $Y$ is guaranteed by the collinearity properties (3.19) and (3.20). Of course, $Y$ is far from being unique. The special cases mentioned above correspond to $\beta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$, with $d Y$ remaining nonvanishing. According to Lemma 1, $\Phi$, $\Psi$ and $f$ are necessarily functions of $Y$, with $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ being their derivatives:

\[ \Phi = \Phi(Y), \quad \Psi = \Psi(Y), \quad f = f(Y). \quad \text{(5.14)} \]

\[ \alpha = \Phi'(Y), \quad \beta = \Psi'(Y), \quad \gamma = f'(Y). \quad \text{(5.15)} \]

We call $Y$ the master potential. Using this fourth potential allows to treat all cases with finite quantities, whereas sticking to the three potentials $\Phi$, $\Psi$ and $f$ leads to infinite quantities in the degenerate cases mentioned above. In this respect there is some analogy with the use of homogeneous coordinates in projective geometry: using only two coordinates $(x, y)$ in the projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$ leads to infinite values for the “points at infinity,” whereas adding a third coordinate, forming the so-called homogeneous coordinates $(x, y, z)$, fix this, at the price of some redundancy: $(x, y, z)$ and $(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z)$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ describe the same point, as $Y$ and $\lambda Y$ correspond to the same configuration.

The master potential is conserved along any given fluid line. Indeed, if $d f \neq 0$, then $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\nabla Y = u \cdot d Y = \gamma^{-1} u \cdot df = 0$ by virtue of Eq. (3.16). If $df = 0$, then $u$ is a linear combination of the Killing vectors $\xi$ and $\chi$ and $\nabla u Y = 0$ holds according to the hypothesis (i) above. We conclude that in all cases

\[ \nabla u Y = 0. \quad \text{(5.16)} \]

Besides, in view of (5.13), the perfect conductivity relation (3.18) is equivalent to

\[ \alpha + \Omega \beta = \frac{\gamma I}{\sigma n \lambda}. \quad \text{(5.17)} \]

Let us proceed by rewriting MHD-Euler system (5.12) in terms of $Y$. Thanks to Eq. (3.15), the term $w \cdot d(hu \cdot \xi)$ in Eq. (5.12a) can be rewritten as $-(\sigma n)^{-1} \epsilon(\xi, \chi, \nabla f, \nabla (hu \cdot \xi))$. Using (5.13) and (5.12a) is thus equivalent to

\[ \epsilon(\xi, \chi, \nabla Y, -\gamma \nabla (hu \cdot \xi) + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0} \nabla I) = 0. \]

Since $\gamma = \gamma(Y)$ and $\alpha = \alpha(Y)$, the Leibniz rule and the alternate character of $\epsilon$ allow us to write this relation as

\[ \epsilon(\xi, \chi, \nabla Y, \nabla (\gamma hu \cdot \xi + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0})) = 0. \quad \text{(5.18)} \]

This implies that the 1-forms $d Y$ and $d(\gamma hu \cdot \xi + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0})$ are collinear. Since $d Y \neq 0$, we conclude that there exists a scalar field $a$ such that

\[ d \left( \gamma hu \cdot \xi + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0} \right) = ad Y. \quad \text{(5.19)} \]

Invoking again Lemma 1, we conclude that $(-\gamma hu \cdot \xi + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0})$ must be a function of $Y$, $\Sigma(Y)$ say. Expressing $u \cdot \xi$ via Eqs. (3.5), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), and (2.16), we get

\[ \Sigma(Y) = -\gamma hu \cdot \xi + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0} = \gamma \lambda h(V - W \Omega) + \frac{\gamma I}{\mu_0}. \quad \text{(5.18)} \]

Applying a similar argument to the second equation of the MHD-Euler system (5.12) leads to the existence of a function $\Lambda(Y)$ such that

\[ \Lambda(Y) = \gamma hu \cdot \chi - \frac{\beta I}{\mu_0} = \gamma \lambda h(W + X \Omega) - \frac{\beta I}{\mu_0}. \quad \text{(5.19)} \]

As for any function of $Y$, the quantities $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ are conserved along any given fluid line, in consequence of (5.16).

Note that if $df \neq 0$, then one may perform the choice $Y = f$, leading to the following values [cf. Eqs. (4.17), (4.22), (4.26), and (4.30)]:
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Hence, for this choice of $Y$, $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ are nothing but the Bernoulli-like quantities $E$ and $L$ introduced by BO [8] and discussed in Sec. IV C.

If $d\Psi \neq 0$, the choice $Y = \Psi$ is allowed, leading to

$$Y = \Psi \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha = -\omega \\ \beta = 1 \\ \gamma = C^{-1} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \Sigma = E/C \\ \Lambda = L/C, \end{cases}$$

(5.21)

where $\omega = -D/C$ [cf. Eq. (4.42)].

C. The master transfield equation

Having shown that the first two equations of the MHD-Euler system (5.12) leads to the conserved quantities $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$, let us focus on the third equation, namely, Eq. (5.12c). Taking account of (5.13), we can rewrite it as

$$\lambda d(hu \cdot \xi) + \lambda \Omega d(hu \cdot \chi) + \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \xi^* \cdot j + \beta \chi^* \cdot j - \gamma q - \frac{\gamma \lambda h}{\sigma} (C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) dY - \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} + TdS = 0.$$  

(5.22)

Differentiating expressions (5.18) and (5.19) yields (the prime stands for the first derivative of a function of $Y$)

$$d\Sigma' dY = -\gamma d(hu \cdot \xi) - \left( \frac{\gamma'h u \cdot \xi}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{\gamma'}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} \right) dY + \frac{\alpha'}{\mu_0} d\Lambda,$$

$$d \Lambda' dY = \gamma d(hu \cdot \chi) + \left( \frac{\gamma' h u \cdot \chi}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{\beta'}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} \right) dY + \frac{\beta}{\mu_0} d\Lambda,$$

from which we get

$$\lambda d(hu \cdot \xi) + \lambda \Omega d(hu \cdot \chi) = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\Omega \Lambda' - \Sigma' + \frac{I}{\mu_0} (\alpha' + \Omega \beta')}{\mu_0} + \frac{\gamma' \lambda h (V - 2W \Omega - \chi X^2)}{\mu_0} \right] dY + \frac{\alpha' + \Omega \beta'}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} + TdS.$$  

(5.23)

To treat the entropy term $TdS$ in Eq. (5.22), let us assume that $S$ is a function of $Y$:

$$S = S(Y).$$  

(5.24)

Actually (5.24) is mandatory if there is a nonvanishing meridional flow. Indeed in this case $df \neq 0$ and since $S$ is conserved along the fluid lines [property (4.11)], Lemma 2 of Sec. IV C1 is applicable and gives $S = S(f)$, i.e. via (5.14), $S = S(Y)$. If $df = 0$ (pure rotational motion), then we may consider that (5.24) is a supplementary hypothesis in our framework, set to integrate the MHD-Euler equation. Note that a homentropic fluid ($S = \text{const}$ throughout the fluid) satisfies (5.24).

Substituting Eqs. (5.23) into Eq. (5.22), we notice that terms in $df/dt$ cancel each other thanks to the relation (5.17). Using (5.24) to set $dS = S'dY$, we are then left with

$$\left[ \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \left[ \Omega \Lambda' - \Sigma' + \frac{I}{\mu_0} (\alpha' + \Omega \beta') + \gamma' \lambda h (V - 2W \Omega - \chi X^2) \right] + \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} \right] dY = 0.$$  

(5.25)

Since by hypothesis $dY \neq 0$, this equation is equivalent to the vanishing of the term in braces. Let us express all the pieces in term of $Y$. Writing $d\Phi = \alpha dY$ and $d\Psi = \beta dY$ [Eq. (5.13)] in Eqs. (2.45b) and (2.45c), we get

$$\alpha \xi^* \cdot j + \beta \chi^* \cdot j = -\frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \left[ (V \beta^2 + 2W \alpha \beta - X \alpha \beta^2) \Delta^* Y \right. + (\beta^2 dV + 2\alpha \beta dW - \alpha^2 dX) \cdot \vec{V} Y

+ [V \beta \beta' + W(\alpha' \beta + \alpha \beta') - \chi \alpha \alpha'] dY \cdot \vec{V} Y

- \frac{I}{\sigma} [(W \beta - X \alpha) C_\xi + (W \alpha + V \beta) C_\chi],$$  

(5.26)

where $\Delta^*$ is the operator that generalizes (2.47) to the relativistic case:

$$\Delta^* Y := \sigma \nabla_{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \nabla^\mu Y \right).$$  

(5.27)

Besides, setting $df = \gamma dY$ [Eq. (5.13)] in Eq. (5.6), we have

$$q = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ h^2 \Delta^* Y + \gamma d \left( \frac{h}{n} \right) \cdot \vec{V} Y + \frac{h}{n} \gamma' dY \cdot \vec{V} Y \right].$$  

(5.28)

Let us substitute Eqs. (5.26) and (5.28) into the term in braces in Eq. (5.25) and express its vanishing. We get, after multiplication by $\sigma n^2 / h$,

$$\Delta^* Y + \frac{n}{h} \left[ \gamma^2 \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{h}{n}) - \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\beta^2 dV + 2 \alpha \beta dW

- \alpha^2 dX) \cdot \vec{V} Y + \left[ \gamma \gamma' - \frac{n}{\mu_0 h} [V \beta \beta' + W(\alpha' \beta + \alpha \beta')

- X \alpha \alpha'] dY \cdot \vec{V} Y + \frac{\sigma n^2}{h} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \left[ \Omega \Lambda' - \Sigma' \right] + \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{d}{dt} \right] dS + TdS' \right] + 2 \alpha \beta' dW

- \chi \alpha \alpha'] dY \cdot \vec{V} Y + \frac{\sigma n^2}{h} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \left[ \Omega \Lambda' - \Sigma' \right] \right] dS + TdS' \right]$$  

(5.29)

where

$$dS = S'dY$$

(5.24)
We shall call Eq. (5.29) the master transfield equation. The qualifier transfield stems from the fact that it corresponds to the component of the MHD-Euler equation along dY, which is transverse to the magnetic field: \( b \cdot dY = 0 \), as it is easily verified on the expression (3.22) of \( \mu \), taking into account (5.14) and (5.16). Note that the term in \( \gamma / \gamma \) in the third line of Eq. (5.29) is regular, even when \( \gamma = 0 \), as we shall show in Sec. VI A. Besides, note that for circular spacetimes (e.g. Kerr spacetime), the last line of Eq. (5.29) vanishes identically [cf. Eq. (2.21)]. The master transfield equation was first written in the Newtonian case by Soloviev (1967) [32], as we shall discuss in Sec. VI A.

Given the metric [hence the covariant derivative operator \( \nabla \), the coefficients \( V, W, V \) and \( \sigma \), and the twist scalars \( \Sigma, \chi \); cf. Eqs. (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), and (5.22)] and the six functions \( \alpha(Y), \beta(Y), \gamma(Y), \Sigma(Y), \Lambda(Y) \), and \( S(Y) \), the master transfield equation (5.29) constitutes a (nonlinear) second-order partial differential equation (PDE) for \( Y \). Indeed, all the remaining quantities \( I, \lambda, \Omega, n, h, T \) that appear in Eq. (5.29), although not functions of \( Y \), can be computed once \( Y \) is known, as we are going to show.

First of all, by combining Eqs. (5.18) and (5.19), we get

\[
V \Lambda - W \Sigma = \gamma \sigma \lambda h \Omega - \frac{I}{\mu_0} (V \beta + W \alpha),
\]

\[
X \Sigma + W \Lambda = \gamma \sigma \lambda h + \frac{I}{\mu_0} (X \alpha - W \beta).
\]

(5.32)

Combining these two equations and using (5.17) to express \( \alpha + \Omega \beta \) in terms of \( I \), we get

\[
I = \frac{n}{hA} [(X \alpha - W \beta) \Sigma + (W \alpha + V \beta) \Lambda].
\]

(5.33)

Extracting \( \lambda h \) from Eq. (5.32) and substituting Eq. (5.33) for \( I \) leads to

\[
\lambda h = \frac{1}{\sigma \gamma A} \left[ \gamma^2 (X \Sigma + W \Lambda) - \frac{\sigma n \beta}{\mu_0 h} (\alpha \Lambda + \beta \Sigma) \right].
\]

(5.34)

Extracting \( \Omega \) from Eq. (5.31) and substituting the above values of \( I \) and \( \lambda h \), we obtain the expression of the fluid angular velocities in terms of conserved quantities and \( h/n \):

\[
\Omega = \frac{\mu_0 h}{\sigma n^2} \frac{\gamma^2 (V \Lambda - W \Sigma)}{\gamma^2 (X \Sigma + W \Lambda) - \sigma \beta (\beta \Sigma + \alpha \Lambda)}.
\]

(5.35)

Besides, from the relation (3.15), we have

\[
\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\sigma n^2} \mathbf{d} f \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}} f = \frac{\gamma^2}{\sigma n^2} \mathbf{d} Y \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}} Y,
\]

(5.36)

so that the 4-velocity normalization relation (3.6) can be written as

\[
1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\sigma n^2} \mathbf{d} Y \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}} Y = \lambda^2 (V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2).
\]

(5.37)

Substituting Eq. (5.35) for \( \Omega \) and writing \( \lambda = (\lambda h)/h \) with \( \lambda h \) given by (5.34), we get, after some rearrangements,

\[
h^2 \left( \sigma + \frac{\gamma^2}{n^2} \mathbf{d} Y \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}} Y \right) - \frac{\gamma^2}{A^2} (X \Sigma^2 + 2W \Sigma \Lambda - V \Lambda^2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma n}{\mu_0 h} \frac{A + \gamma^2}{A^2 \gamma^2} (\beta \Sigma + \alpha \Lambda)^2 = 0.
\]

(5.38)

By means of the identity

\[
\sigma (\beta \Sigma + \alpha \Lambda)^2 = [(X \alpha - W \beta) \Sigma + (V \beta + W \alpha) \Lambda]^2
\]

\[
+ (V \beta^2 + 2W \alpha \beta - X \alpha^2)
\]

\[
\times (X \Sigma^2 + 2W \Sigma \Lambda - V \Lambda^2),
\]

which follows solely from \( \sigma = XV + W^2 \), Eq. (5.38) can be recast in the alternative form

\[
\begin{align*}
&h^2 \left( \sigma + \frac{\gamma^2}{n^2} \mathbf{d} Y \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}} Y \right) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} (X \Sigma^2 + 2W \Sigma \Lambda - V \Lambda^2) \\
&+ \frac{n}{\mu_0 h} \frac{A + \gamma^2}{A^2 \gamma^2} [(X \alpha - W \beta) \Sigma + (V \beta + W \alpha) \Lambda]^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.39)

This equation is called the poloidal wind equation. Given the metric factors \( V, W, X \) and \( \sigma \), the functions \( \alpha(Y), \beta(Y), \gamma(Y), \Sigma(Y), \Lambda(Y) \) and \( S(Y) \), expression (5.30) for \( A \), as well as the EOS \( h = h(s, n) \) with \( s = S(Y)n \) [cf. Eq. (4.6)], the poloidal wind equation can be solved to compute \( n \) once \( Y \) is known. Then, from \( n \) we get \( h \) via the EOS and \( A \) via Eq. (5.30). Once \( n, h \) and \( A \) are known, we can compute \( I \) via Eq. (5.33) and \( \Omega \) via Eq. (5.35). The meridional velocity \( w \) is obtained via Eq. (3.15) with \( \mathbf{d} f = \gamma \mathbf{d} Y \) and the velocity coefficient \( \lambda \) via Eq. (3.6).

An equivalent point of view is to consider that the fundamental equations to be solved are Eqs. (5.29) and (5.39) which constitute a coupled PDE system for the two unknowns \( (Y, n) \). Indeed, given the metric, the EOS and the six functions \( \alpha(Y), \beta(Y), \gamma(Y), \Sigma(Y), \Lambda(Y) \) and \( S(Y) \), solving this system provides a solution of the MHD-Euler equation and Maxwell equations, the electromagnetic field tensor \( F \) and electric 4-current \( j \) being deduced from \( Y \) via Eqs. (2.35), (2.45), (5.13), and (5.33).

VI. SUBCASES OF THE MASTER TRANSFIELD EQUATION

The master transfield equation (5.29), coupled with the poloidal wind equation (5.39), describes the most general MHD equilibria in generic (noncircular) stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes. We shall now specialize it to

A combination \( -\Sigma + \Omega \Lambda \) of Eqs. (5.18) and (5.19) may be used to derive Eqs. (5.38) and (5.39) from \( u \cdot u = -1 \).
streamline-conserved quantities (see Sec. VI C below). The equation is then known as the Bernoulli equation (called the Bernoulli equation by these authors).

We refer the reader to the original paper for the derivation of the Newtonian limit of the poloidal wind equation (5.39), which is given by

$$\sigma h^2 - \frac{X^2}{\gamma^2} \simeq r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ m_b^2 (1 + 2H - (1 - 2\Phi_{grav}) \frac{\Sigma^2}{\gamma^2}) \right]$$

$$\approx 2m_b^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ H + \Phi_{grav} + 1 - \frac{\Sigma}{m_b \gamma} \right],$$

where the last equality results from $1 - (\Sigma/m_b \gamma)^2 = (1 + \Sigma/m_b \gamma)(1 - \Sigma/m_b \gamma) \approx 2(1 - \Sigma/m_b \gamma)$. Accordingly, the Newtonian limit of the poloidal wind equation (5.39) is

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{n^2} \mathbf{d}Y \cdot \mathbf{\hat{v}} Y + 2r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ H + \Phi_{grav} + 1 - \frac{\Sigma}{m_b \gamma} \right]$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\Lambda}{m_b \gamma} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{\mu_0 m_b} \frac{A + \gamma^2}{A^2} \left( \frac{\beta \Lambda}{m_b \gamma} + \alpha r^2 \sin^2 \theta \right)^2 = 0.$$  

(6.7)

This equation is not exhibited in Soloviev’s work [32]. It can however be recovered by combining Soloviev’s Eqs. (1.5) and (1.25) and expressing $v^2$ as $\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ with $\Omega$ substituted by (6.6) and $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ by (5.36). In the special case where $Y = \Psi$, one can check that Eq. (6.7) coincides with Eq. (14) of Heyvaerts and Norman [37] (called the Bernoulli equation by these authors).

B. Pure rotational flow

The case of a pure rotational flow corresponds to

$$w = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{d}f = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma = 0.$$  

(6.8)

Then Eq. (5.17) yields

$$\alpha = -\Omega \beta,$$  

(6.9)

whereas Eqs. (5.18) and (5.19) reduce to

$$\Sigma = \frac{\alpha I}{\mu_0} \text{ and } \Lambda = -\frac{\beta I}{\mu_0}.$$  

(6.10)

If $\alpha \neq 0$ (i.e. $\mathbf{d} \Phi \neq 0$) or $\beta \neq 0$ (i.e. $\mathbf{d} \Psi \neq 0$), Eqs. (6.9) and (6.10) imply that $\Omega$ and $I$ are functions of $Y$ (for $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ are all functions of $Y$):

$$\Omega = \Omega(Y) \text{ and } I = I(Y).$$  

(6.11)

Taking into account Eq. (6.9) and $\gamma = 0$, the expression (5.30) for $A$ becomes

$$A = -\frac{\beta^2}{\mu_0 \hbar} (V - 2W\Omega - X \Omega^2).$$  

(6.12)

To express the master transfield equation (5.29) in the case $\gamma = 0$, we shall first evaluate the term which is divided by $\gamma$ in Eq. (5.29), namely
\[ \mathcal{A} := \Omega \Lambda' - \Sigma' + \frac{I}{\mu_0} (\alpha' + \Omega \beta') + \gamma' \lambda h(V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2). \]  

(6.13)

To achieve this aim, we shall first suppose \( \gamma \neq 0 \) and, in a second stage, take the limit \( \gamma \to 0 \). Since \( I = I(Y) \) when \( \gamma = 0 \) [Eq. (6.11)], we may write

\[ I = I_0(Y) + \gamma a, \]  

(6.14)

where \( I_0(Y) \) is a function of \( Y \) and \( a \) describes the behavior of \( I \) as \( \gamma \to 0 \). For instance, if \( \beta \neq 0 \) (i.e. \( d \Psi \neq 0 \)), explicit values of \( I_0 \) and \( a \) are deduced from Eq. (5.19):

\[ I_0(Y) = -\mu_0 \frac{\Lambda(Y)}{\beta(Y)} \text{ and } a = \mu_0 \frac{\lambda h}{\beta}(W + X \Omega). \]

Substituting expression (6.14) for \( I \) into Eqs. (5.18) and (5.19), we get

\[ \Sigma = \frac{\alpha}{\mu_0} I_0 + \gamma G \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = -\frac{\beta}{\mu_0} I_0 + \gamma H, \]  

(6.15)

with

\[ G := \lambda h(V - W \Omega) + \frac{\alpha a}{\mu_0} \quad \text{and} \]

\[ H := \lambda h(W + X \Omega) - \frac{\beta a}{\mu_0}. \]  

(6.16)

From Eq. (6.15) and the fact that \( \Sigma, \Lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( I_0 \) are all functions of \( Y \), it is clear that \( G = G(Y) \) and \( H = H(Y) \). Then, using successively Eqs. (6.15), (6.16), and (5.17), we may write expression (6.13) as

\[ \mathcal{A} = \gamma\left[ \Omega H' - G' + \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( a(\alpha' + \Omega \beta') - \frac{I}{\sigma n \lambda} (I_0 + a \gamma') \right) \right]. \]  

(6.17)

Taking the limit \( \gamma \to 0 \), we have \( \Omega = \Omega(Y) \) [Eq. (6.11)] and \( \alpha = -\Omega \beta \) [Eq. (6.9)], so that \( \alpha' + \Omega \beta' = -\Omega \beta' \) and \( \Omega H' - G' = -K' - \Omega' H \) with \( K(Y) := \frac{X}{\Omega} \). According to (6.16) and (6.9), we have \( K = \lambda h(V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2) \). Now for \( \gamma = 0 \), expression (3.6) for \( \lambda \) reduces to

\[ \lambda = (V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2)^{-1/2}, \]  

(6.18)

so that

\[ K(Y) = \frac{h}{\lambda} = h\sqrt{V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2}. \]  

(6.19)

Since

\[ \Omega H' - G' + \frac{a}{\mu_0} (\alpha' + \Omega \beta') = -K' - \frac{a}{\mu_0} \Omega' \beta = -K' - \lambda h(W + X \Omega) \Omega', \]  

the limit \( \gamma \to 0 \) of Eq. (6.17) is

\[ \lim_{\gamma \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\gamma} = -K' - \lambda h(W + X \Omega) \Omega' - \frac{I}{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma n \lambda}{\lambda^2}. \]  

(6.20)

Thanks to the relations (6.9), (6.12), (6.18), (6.20), and (4.5), the master transfield equation (5.29) becomes

\[ \frac{\beta^2}{\lambda^2} \Delta Y + \beta d \left( \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \right) \cdot \mathcal{N} \mathbf{Y} + \beta^2 (W + X \Omega) \Omega' d \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathcal{N} \mathbf{Y} + \left[ \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma} \left( (W + X \Omega) C_x + (V - W \Omega) C_x \right) \right] + \mu_0 \sigma \left( (e + p) \left[ \frac{K'}{K} + \lambda^2 (W + X \Omega) \Omega' \right] - n TS' \right] = 0. \]  

(6.21)

Let us now take the pure rotational limit of the wind equation, in the form (5.38). From Eq. (6.15), \( \beta \Sigma + \alpha \Lambda = \gamma(\beta G + \alpha H) \), so that, in view of (6.9),

\[ \lim_{\gamma \to 0} (\beta \Sigma + \alpha \Lambda) = \beta (G - \Omega H) = \beta K. \]

This property, along with (6.12), implies that for \( \gamma = 0 \) the wind equation (5.38) reduces to

\[ h^2 - \frac{K^2}{V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2} = 0, \]

which is nothing but the square of Eq. (6.19). Consequently, the wind equation is trivially satisfied in this case.

In conclusion, for a pure rotational flow, one should prescribe five functions of the master potential: \( \beta(Y), \Omega(Y), I(Y), K(Y) \) and \( S(Y) \) and solve for the transfield equation (6.21) for \( Y \). In that equation, the matter quantities \( e, p, n \) and \( T \) are given by the EOS from the knowledge of \( s \) and \( h \), the latter being deduced from \( \Omega(Y) \) and \( K(Y) \) via Eq. (6.19). We shall discuss further the pure rotational flow below (Sec. VIC1 and VIC2).

C. Expression in terms of \( \Psi \): Generalized Grad-Shafranov equation

Let us assume that \( d \Psi \neq 0 \). We may then choose \( Y = \Psi \) as the primary variable [cf. (5.21)]. This is actually the choice performed by most (all?) of previous relativistic studies, disregarding the case \( d \Psi = 0 \) (toroidal magnetic field or hydrodynamical limit, to be discussed in Sec. VIC1 and VIC2). Let us first consider the pure rotational flow, in order to make the link with the original Grad-Shafranov equation.

1. Pure rotational flow

For a pure rotational flow with \( Y = \Psi \), Eq. (6.11) become

\[ \Omega = \Omega(\Psi) \text{ and } I = I(\Psi). \]  

(6.22)

In the Newtonian regime, the property \( \Omega = \Omega(\Psi) \) is known as Ferraro’s law of isorotation [40], while the result
\(I = I(\Psi)\) has been obtained by Chandrasekhar (1956) [41]. The transfield equation (6.21) becomes [cf. (5.21) and (6.18)]

\[
(V - 2W\Omega - X\Omega^2)\Delta^*\Psi + d(V - 2W\Omega - X\Omega^2) \cdot \nabla\Psi \\
+ (W + X\Omega')d\Psi \cdot \nabla\Psi + \int \left[ I' - \frac{W + X\Omega}{\sigma} C_\xi \right] \\
- \frac{V - W\Omega}{\sigma} C_\chi + \mu_0 \sigma \left\{ (e + p) \left[ \frac{K'}{K} \right] \\
+ \frac{(X\Omega + W)\Omega'}{V - 2W\Omega - X\Omega^2} \right\} = 0. \tag{6.23}
\]

This PDE has to be solved for \(\Psi\), once the four functions \(\Omega(\Psi), I(\Psi), K(\Psi)\) and \(S(\Psi)\) are prescribed. The enthalpy field \(h\) is given by Eq. (6.19) which remains unchanged.

Equation (6.23) is a relativistic generalization of the so-called Grad-Shafranov equation [42–45] (see also Chap. 16 of the recent textbook in [46]). At the Newtonian limit [cf. expressions (2.18) and (4.32)] and in coordinates \((t, r, \theta, \varphi)\) of spherical type, Eq. (6.23) reduces to

Newt: \(\Delta^*\Psi + I' + \mu_0 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left\{ \rho(K'/m_b + \Omega\Omega' r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \\
- nTS' \right\} = 0, \tag{6.24}
\]

whereas Eq. (6.19) reduces to [cf. (4.33) and (4.34)]

Newt: \(H + \Phi_{grav} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta = \frac{K(\Psi)}{m_b} - 1. \tag{6.25}\)

Let us recall that the Newtonian expression of \(\Delta^*\) is given by Eq. (2.47). Modulo the change from spherical to cylindrical coordinates, Eqs. (6.24) and (6.25) coincide with, respectively, Eqs. (3.3) and (3.2) of Maschke and Perrin [47]. The limiting case \(I = 0\) [poloidal magnetic field, cf. Eq. (3.23)], \(\rho = \text{const}\), \(\Omega = \text{const}\) and \(S = \text{const}\) has been treated by Ferraro in 1954 [48]. It has been extended to \(I \neq 0\) and \(\Omega \neq \text{const}\), still maintaining \(\rho = \text{const}\), by Chandrasekhar in 1956 [41] (using the function \(P := \Psi/\left(r^2 \sin^2 \theta\right)\) instead of \(\Psi\)). Plasma physicists Grad and Rubin [42] and Shafranov [44] have considered in 1958 the nonrotating limit \((\Omega = 0)\) of Eq. (6.24) (see Chap. 16 of [46]).

Coming back to the relativistic case, the special case \(I = 0, \Omega = \text{const}\) and \(S = \text{const}\) has been discussed by Bonazzola et al. [24] and Bocquet et al. [25]. Note that contrary to what is claimed in Ref. [24], \(\Omega\) has not to be a constant: it can be any function of \(\Psi\) [Eq. (6.22)]. Most relativistic studies have focused on the case \(w \neq 0\) (flow with a meridional component) and barely discussed the limit \(w = 0\) presented above. In particular, it is claimed in Ref. [13] that if \(w \to 0\), the magnetic field \(b\) cannot have a toroidal component (i.e. \(I = 0\)). We see no support of this since any choice seems to be allowed for the function \(I(\Psi)\) in the equations presented above.

2. Generic flow

For a generic flow (i.e. with some meridional component), we have, according to (5.21), \(\alpha = -\omega, \beta = 1\) and \(\gamma = C^{-1}\), with \(C \neq 0\) since \(d\Psi \neq 0\). The expression (5.30) for \(A\) becomes then

\[
A = \frac{1}{C^2} \left(1 - \frac{V - 2W\omega - X\omega^2}{M^2}\right). \tag{6.26}
\]

where \(M\) is the poloidal Alfven Mach number,

\[
M := \frac{\mu_0 m_b}{C^2 n}. \tag{6.27}
\]

This name is justified by the Newtonian limit [cf. (4.33)]:

\[
\text{Newt:} \quad M^2 = \frac{\mu_0 m_b}{C^2 n} = \left(\frac{|w|}{\nu_{A_p}}\right)^2, \quad \nu_{A_p} := \frac{|b_p|}{\sqrt{\mu_0 n m_b}}, \tag{6.28}
\]

\(\nu_{A_p}\) is the poloidal Alfven velocity, \(b_p\) being the poloidal magnetic field [cf. Eq. (4.37)]. The expression of \(M\) as the ratio of the norm of \(w\) to \(\nu_{A_p}\) justifies the name poloidal Alfven Mach number given to \(M\).

Setting \(\Sigma = E/C\) and \(\Lambda = L/C\) [cf. (5.21)], the transfield equation (5.29) specialized to \(Y = \Psi\) is

\[
\left(1 - \frac{V - 2W\omega - X\omega^2}{M^2}\right)\Delta^*\Psi \\
+ \left[\frac{n}{h} \left(\frac{h}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{M^2} (dV - 2\omega dW - \omega^2 dX)\right] \cdot \nabla\Psi \\
+ \left[\frac{\omega'}{M^2} (W + X\omega) - \frac{C'}{C}\right] d\Psi \cdot \nabla\Psi \\
+ \frac{\mu_0 \sigma n}{M^2} \left[\Omega L - E' + \frac{I}{\mu_0} (\frac{C'(\Omega - \omega) - C\omega')}{C} + TS'\right] \\
- \ln C(\psi) + \frac{\sigma n}{M^2} (W + X\omega)C_x \\
+ (V - W\omega)C_x = 0. \tag{6.29}
\]

In this equation, all the primes denote derivatives with respect to \(\Psi\). Equation (6.29) is called the generalized Grad-Shafranov equation, since it can be considered as an extension of the Grad-Shafranov equation (6.23) to the case of a nonvanishing meridional flow. The generalized Grad-Shafranov equation has been derived for Minkowski spacetimes by Camenzind (1987) [49] and Heyvaerts and Norman (2003) [50]. It has been extended to weak gravitational fields by Lovelace et al. (1986) [36] and to the Schwarzschild spacetime by Mobar and Lovelace (1986) [10]. The case of the Kerr spacetime has been first considered by Nitta et al. (1991) [11] for pressureless matter and Beskin and Pariev (1993) [12] for nonvanishing pressure (see also [11]). Finally the general case of noncircular stationary axisymmetric spacetimes has been treated by Ioka and Sasaki (2003) [13,15]. Note however that they have not written the generalized Grad-Shafranov equation explicitly.
as Eq. (6.29), but have kept the \( \xi^* \cdot j, \chi^* \cdot j \) and \( q \) terms, as in Eq. (5.25). They have replaced these terms, leading to \( \Delta^* \Psi \), only when taking the Newtonian limit. In particular, it is not apparent in their work that the Grad-Shafranov equation is singular at the Alfvén surface, where the term in factor of \( \Delta^* \Psi \) vanishes (see below). Besides, as stated in the Introduction, their approach appeals to a \( (2 + 1) + 1 \) foliation of spacetime, whereas ours does not require any extra structure.

Regarding the poloidal wind equation (5.39), it reads for \( \Psi = Y \),

\[
\frac{1}{C^2 n^2} \frac{d\Psi}{r} \cdot \nabla \Psi + \sigma h^2 - \xi E - 2WEL + V L^2 \\
+ 2 \frac{\dot{M}^2 - 1}{(M^2 - 1)^2} \frac{(V - W \omega) L - (W + X \omega) E}{r - 2W \omega - X \omega^2} = 0,
\]

(6.30)

where

\[
\dot{M}^2 := \frac{\dot{M}^2}{V - 2W \omega - X \omega^2} = \frac{\mu_0}{C^2 (V - 2W \omega - X \omega^2) n^2}.
\]

(6.31)

Equations (6.29) and (6.30) form a system of two equations for the two unknowns \( (\Psi, \eta) \), once the five functions \( \omega(\Psi), C(\Psi), E(\Psi), L(\Psi) \) and \( \eta(\Psi) \) are prescribed, as well as the EOS. In these equations, \( I \) is expressed via Eq. (5.33):

\[
I = \frac{\mu_0}{C} \frac{(V - W \omega) L - (W + X \omega) E}{M^2 - V^2 + 2W \omega + X \omega^2}.
\]

(6.32)

and \( \Omega \) via Eq. (5.35) recast as

\[
\Omega = \frac{M^2(V L - W E) - \sigma \omega(E - \omega L)}{M^2(X E + W L) - \sigma(E - \omega L)}.
\]

(6.33)

As a check, one may verify that Eq. (6.32) coincides with Eq. (24) obtained by Beskin and Pariev [12] for the Kerr spacetime, and that Eq. (6.33) coincides with Eq. (110) obtained by Camenzind [51] for the Minkowski spacetime. It can also be recovered for general circular spacetimes by combining Eqs. (38), (39), and (41) of Ref. [52].

The generalized Grad-Shafranov equation (6.29) is singular for \( M^2 = V - 2W \omega - X \omega^2 \), or equivalently, for \( \dot{M}^2 = 1 \). This condition defines the so-called Alfvén surface (see e.g., Refs. [1, 51–54] for an extended discussion). The term \( \dot{M}^2 - 1 \) also appears at the denominator in the poloidal wind equation (6.30) or in expression (6.32) for \( I \), but this does not make these equations singular at the Alfvén surface, thanks to the simultaneous vanishing of the corresponding numerator [1].

**D. Toroidal magnetic field \( (d \Psi = 0) \)**

The complementary case of that treated in the previous subsection is

\[
d \Psi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta = 0,
\]

(6.34)

where the equivalence follows from the very definition of \( \beta \) given in Eq. (5.13). Then, the expression (3.22) for the magnetic field in the fluid frame reduces to

\[
b = \frac{\lambda I}{\mu_0 \sigma^2} \left[ (W + X \Omega) \xi + \left( V - W \Omega - \frac{W}{\lambda} \cdot \omega \right) \chi \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\lambda}(W + X \Omega) \omega
\]

(6.35)

Strictly speaking, this field is not purely toroidal, except at the Newtonian limit or when \( \omega = 0 \). By a slight abuse of language, we shall however refer to the case \( d \Psi = 0 \) as the toroidal magnetic field case.

1. **Generic case**

With \( \beta = 0 \), the perfect conductivity relation (5.17) reduces to

\[
\alpha = \frac{\gamma I}{\sigma n \lambda}.
\]

(6.36)

Consequently, the expression (3.30) of \( A \) becomes

\[
A = \gamma^2 \left( 1 + \frac{X I^2}{\mu_0 \sigma^2 \lambda^2 n^2 \lambda} \right).
\]

(6.37)

The master transfield equation (5.29) reduces to

\[
A \Delta^* \Psi + \gamma^2 \frac{n}{h} \left[ \frac{dV}{h} \cdot \nabla Y \right] + \frac{I^2}{\mu_0 \sigma^2 \lambda^2 n^2 h} \cdot \nabla Y
\]

\[
+ \gamma \left( \gamma' + \frac{XI a'}{\mu_0 \sigma \lambda h} \right) dY \cdot \nabla Y + \frac{\sigma \eta^2}{h} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \left( \Omega' - \Sigma' \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{I a'}{\mu_0} + \gamma' \lambda h (V - 2W \Omega - X \Omega^2) + T S
\]

\[
- \gamma \lambda n (C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) + \frac{\gamma^2 I^2}{\mu_0 \sigma \lambda h} \left( -X C_\xi + WC_\chi \right) = 0
\]

(6.38)

whereas the poloidal wind equation (5.39) becomes

\[
h^2 \left( \sigma + \frac{n^2}{h^2} dY \cdot \nabla Y \right) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} (X \Sigma^2 + 2W \Sigma \Lambda - \Lambda^2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{I^2 h}{\mu_0 n} \left( 2 + \frac{X I^2}{\mu_0 \sigma^2 \lambda^2 n^2 h} \right) = 0.
\]

(6.39)
2. Pure rotational flow

In the particular case of a pure rotational flow ($\gamma = 0$), the transfield equation (6.38) reduces to [cf. Eq. (6.21) with $\beta = 0$]

$$I' + \mu_0 \sigma \left\{ (\varepsilon + p) \left[ \frac{K'}{K} + \lambda^2 (W + X \Omega) \Omega \right] - nTS \right\} = 0,$$

with $K(Y)$ obeying to Eq. (6.19). In the present case, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, i.e. $d\Phi = 0$, $d\Psi = 0$ and $df = 0$. If the electromagnetic field is not vanishing, a natural choice for $Y$ is

$$Y = I.$$

Then $I' = 1$ and Eq. (6.40) reduces to

$$I + \mu_0 \sigma \left\{ (\varepsilon + p) \left[ \frac{K'}{K} + \lambda^2 (W + X \Omega) \Omega \right] - nTS \right\} = 0.$$

Given the functions $\Omega(I)$, $K(I)$ and $S(I)$, this equation has to be solved in $I$. Note that this is not a PDE in $I$ and that the matter quantities $n$, $\varepsilon$, $p$ and $T$ are to be computed via the EOS from $S$ and $h$, the former being deduced from $K(I)$ and $\Omega(I)$ via Eq. (6.19):

$$h = \frac{K(I)}{\sqrt{V - 2W\Omega(I) - X\Omega(I)^2}}.$$

In the special case $\Omega(I) = \text{const}$ and $S(I) = \text{const}$, we recover equations obtained by Kiuchi and Yoshida (2008) [27]. At the Newtonian limit, the special case $\Omega(I) = \text{const}$, $S(I) = \text{const}$ and $K'(I)/K(I) = \text{const}$ has been contemplated by Miketinac (1973) [55].

Besides, it is worth underlining that in the case considered here, i.e. a pure rotational flow and a pure toroidal magnetic field, (i) the spacetime has to be circular (provided that the fluid and the electromagnetic field are the only sources in the Einstein equation) [26,27] and (ii) the twist functions $C_\xi$ and $C_\chi$, whose vanishing is equivalent to circularity, do not appear in Eqs. (6.42) and (6.43).

E. Hydrodynamical limit

1. Generic case

The hydrodynamical limit (no electromagnetic field) is easily taken by setting $\alpha = 0$ (i.e. $d\Phi = 0$), $\beta = 0$ (i.e. $d\Psi = 0$) and $I = 0$ in the equations obtained so far. In particular, the streamline-conserved quantities (5.18) and (5.19) reduce to

$$\Sigma = \gamma E \quad \text{with} \quad E = \lambda h(V - W \Omega),$$

where the second equalities in each line follow from Eqs. (4.26) and (4.30) with $I = 0$. Besides, Eq. (5.30) reduces to $A = \gamma^2$ and thanks to (6.44), (6.45), and (6.13) reduces to $\mathcal{A} = \gamma(\Omega L' - E')$. Accordingly, the master transfield equation (5.29) becomes

$$\gamma^2 \Delta^* Y + \gamma^2 \frac{n}{h} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{h}{r} \right) \cdot \nabla Y + \gamma^2 \frac{df}{h} \cdot \nabla Y$$

$$+ \gamma \sigma n^2 \left( \lambda(\Omega L' - E') + TS' \right) - \gamma \lambda n(C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) = 0.$$}

On its side, the poloidal wind equation (5.39) reduces to

$$\gamma^2 h^2 \frac{d^2 Y}{r^2} + \sigma h^2 - \lambda n^2 \left( \lambda(\Omega L' - E') + TS' \right) - \gamma \lambda n(C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) = 0.$$}

2. Flow with meridional component

If the meridional fluid velocity is not vanishing, $df \neq 0$ and a natural choice for the master potential is $Y = f$. Then $\gamma = 1$ and Eqs. (6.46) and (6.47) become

$$\Delta^* f + \frac{n}{h} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{h}{r} \right) \cdot \nabla f + \frac{\sigma n^2}{h} \left[ \lambda(\Omega L' - E') + TS' \right]$$

$$- \lambda n(C_\xi + \Omega C_\chi) = 0.$$}

These equations are to be supplemented by (i) Eq. (3.6) expressing $\lambda$ in terms of $f$ and $\Omega$ [via Eq. (5.36)] and (ii) the hydrodynamical limit of Eq. (5.35), which reads

$$\Omega = \frac{VL - WE}{XE + WL}.$$}

It is then clear that, given the metric, the three functions $E(f)$, $L(f)$ and $S(f)$ and the EOS $h = h(S, n)$, $T = T(S, n)$, Eqs. (6.48) and (6.49) form a system of coupled PDE for $(f, n)$. Solving this system provides a solution of the Euler equation for a rotating flow with meridional component. In the case of circular spacetimes ($C_\xi = C_\chi = 0$), Eq. (6.48) has been written first by Anderson (1989) [56] and Beskin and Pariev (1993) [12], with $n$ extracted from Eq. (6.49) and substituted in (6.48), so that the system reduces to a single equation for $f$. An equivalent formulation has been developed recently by Birkl et al. [57] for the barotropic case ($S = 0$), using the function $\psi := -\int E(f) df$ instead of $f$.

In the Newtonian limit [cf. Eqs. (6.3) and (6.7)], the system becomes
where $\Omega = L/(m_b r^2 \sin^2 \theta)$. Equation (6.51) is called the
Stokes equation. For an incompressible fluid, it coincides
with Eq. (7.5.11) of Batchelor treatise [58]. For a
compressible fluid, we recover Eq. (1.107) in the Beskin
textbook [1] or Eq. (15) of Eriguchi et al. [59]. Consequently, we shall call Eq. (6.48) the relativistic Stokes equation.

3. Pure rotational flow

For a pure rotational flow, $\gamma = 0$ and Eqs. (6.46) and
(6.47) reduce to
\[
\Omega L' - E' + \frac{T}{\lambda} S' = 0 \tag{6.53}
\]
\[
\sigma h^2 = X E^2 + 2 W E L - V L^2. \tag{6.54}
\]

Let us assume that
\[
\Omega = \Omega(Y). \tag{6.55}
\]

In Sec. VI B, we have seen that this condition is mandatory
if $\alpha \neq 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$. In the absence of electromagnetic field,
(6.55) is fulfilled for rigid rotation ($\Omega = \text{const}$) and for
nonrigid one, it may be imposed by a proper choice of $Y$,
for instance $Y = \Omega$. It is then natural to introduce, as in
Sec. VI B, $K = K(Y) = E - \Omega L = h/\lambda$ [cf. Eq. (6.19)],
so that Eq. (6.53) can be written as
\[
K' + L \Omega' - \frac{T}{\lambda} S' = 0. \tag{6.56}
\]

A wide class of equilibrium configurations is obtained by assuming
\[
\frac{T}{\lambda} = \tilde{T}(Y), \tag{6.57}
\]
with $\tilde{T}$ a function of $Y$ such that
\[
\tilde{T}' = -\tilde{T} \frac{L}{K} \Omega'. \tag{6.58}
\]

Thanks to Eqs. (6.57), (6.58), and (6.56) can be written as
\[
(\ln \hat{\mu})' + \frac{L}{K} \Omega' = 0. \tag{6.59}
\]

where
\[
\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}(Y) := K - \tilde{T} S \frac{h - T S}{\lambda} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}. \tag{6.60}
\]

$\mu$ being the baryon chemical potential introduced in
Eq. (4.3), the last equality resulting from Eq. (4.5).

If $\Omega$ is constant (rigid rotation), Eq. (6.59) yields the first integral
\[
\hat{\mu} = \text{const.} \tag{6.61}
\]

Note that in this case, Eq. (6.58) implies the so-called
relativistic isothermal condition:
\[
\tilde{T} = \text{const.} \tag{6.62}
\]

If $\Omega' \neq 0$, Lemma 1 of Sec. IV C 1 implies that $L/K$
must be a function of $\Omega$. $\hat{\mu}(\Omega)$, say. Since $L$ is expressible
as (6.45) and $K = h/\lambda$ with the value (6.18) for $\lambda$, we have
\[
\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \frac{W + X \Omega}{V - 2 W \Omega - X \Omega^2}. \tag{6.63}
\]

Given the function $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, the above equation has to be
solved in $\Omega$. At the Newtonian limit, it leads to a solution of
the form $\Omega = \Omega(r \sin \theta)$, i.e. satisfying to the Poincaré-
Wavre property [60]. With $L/K = \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, Eq. (6.59) is integrated to
\[
\ln \hat{\mu} + \int_0^\Omega \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Omega}) d\tilde{\Omega} = \text{const}, \tag{6.64}
\]
and Eq. (6.58) to
\[
\tilde{T} e^{\int_0^\Omega \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Omega}) d\tilde{\Omega}} = \text{const}, \tag{6.65}
\]
generalizing the isothermal condition (6.62) to the case of
differential rotation. In the case $T = 0$ (or $S = \text{const}$), we
recognize in Eqs. (6.61) and (6.64) the standard first integrals
governing rotating relativistic stars (see e.g. [61] or
[18] and references therein). For the finite temperature case, we recover results of Ref. [62].

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have formulated GRMHD for stationary and axi-
symmetric spacetimes in the most general case, i.e. non
assuming circularity (as in Kerr spacetime). Moreover, we
have based our approach on geometric quantities defined
solely in terms of the spacetimes symmetries (represented by
the two Killing vectors $\xi$ and $\chi$), without relying on any
coordinate system or any extra structure (such as a
$(2 + 1) + 1$ foliation). This provides some insight on
previously introduced quantities and leads to the formulation
of very general laws, recovering previous ones as subcases
and obtaining new ones in some specific limits. To our
knowledge, the new results obtained here are:

(i) the expression (2.35) of the electromagnetic field
tensor $\mathcal{F}$ entirely in terms of the two Killing vector
fields and three scalar fields, independently of any
coordinate system;

(ii) the derivation of the conservation laws for the
Bernoulli-type quantities $E$ and $L$ in a covariant
manner and in the most general case, including
that of a purely toroidal magnetic field disregarded in the original BO derivation [8];

(iii) the fully covariant master transfield equation (5.29) governing the most general MHD equilibria in generic (i.e. noncircular) spacetimes, generalizing Soloviev nonrelativistic equation [32];

(iv) the explicit form (6.29) of the covariant Grad-Shafranov equation for noncircular spacetimes;

(v) the equation (6.38) governing MHD equilibria with purely toroidal magnetic field in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes;

(vi) the relativistic Stokes equation (6.48) governing hydrodynamical equilibria of flows with meridional components in stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes.

The relativistic master transfield equation (5.29) is probably the most important outcome of the present study. Beyond the aesthetic feature of having a single equation governing all MHD equilibria, reducing to the relativistic Grad-Shafranov and Stokes equations in certain limits, the value of this equation resides in its potentiality to lead to solutions that cannot be obtained by merely setting $Y = \Psi$ or $Y = f$, as already shown in the Newtonian regime [63].

In this article, we have focused on the derivation of the equations governing MHD equilibria and of conservation laws. In order to solve the obtained equations, there remains to choose the streamline-conserved functions $\alpha(Y), \beta(Y), \gamma(Y), \Sigma(Y), \Lambda(Y)$ and $S(Y)$ and to specify some boundary conditions on them. An example of numerical resolution of the Grad-Shafranov equation (case $Y = \Psi$) is provided by Ref. [15]. Finding stationary and axisymmetric GRMHD solutions provides initial data for dynamical stability studies of magnetized neutron stars (see e.g. [64–67]).

As a final remark, let us point out that we have hardly used the axisymmetric character of the Killing vector $\chi$ (i.e. the fact that it is a generator of a SO(2) group action), so that most results presented here would remain valid for any other type of spatial symmetry, like for instance translational symmetry.
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**APPENDIX A: LIE DERIVATIVE**

The Lie derivative is the natural operator to express symmetries under a 1-parameter group action. It measures the change of a tensor field along the orbits of the group action. More precisely, any regular vector field $v$ can be regarded as the generator of a 1-parameter group action on $\mathcal{M}$. Then, given a local coordinate system $(x^\alpha)$ adapted to $v$, i.e. such that $v^\alpha = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, the Lie derivative along $v$ of a tensor field $T$ of type $(k, \ell)$ is the tensor field of the same type, whose components are the derivatives of $T$’s components with respect to the parameter associated with $v$ (i.e. the coordinate $x^3$):

$$ (L_v T)^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_\ell} = \partial_0 T^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_\ell}. \tag{A1} $$

In an arbitrary coordinate system, this formula becomes

$$ (L_v T)^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_\ell} = v^\mu \partial_\mu T^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_\ell} - \sum_{i=1}^k T^{\alpha_1 \ldots \hat{\alpha}_i \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_\ell} \partial_\ell v^{\alpha_i} + \sum_{i=1}^\ell T^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_{i-1} \partial_\ell \beta_i \beta_{i+1} \ldots \beta_\ell} v^\alpha. \tag{A2} $$

In particular, for a scalar field $f$,

$$ (L_v f) = v^\mu \partial_\mu f, \tag{A3} $$

for a vector field $w$,

$$ (L_v w)^\alpha = v^\mu \partial_\mu w^\alpha - w^\mu \partial_\mu v^\alpha, \tag{A4} $$

for a 1-form $\omega$,

$$ (L_v \omega)_\alpha = v^\mu \partial_\mu \omega_\alpha + \omega_\beta \partial_\mu v^\beta, \tag{A5} $$

and for a bilinear form $T$ (such as the metric tensor $g$ or the electromagnetic field $F$),

$$ (L_v T)^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} = v^\mu \partial_\mu T^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} + T^{\beta_\mu}_{\alpha_\nu} \partial_\nu v^\mu + T^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} \partial_\nu v^\mu. \tag{A6} $$

From formula (A4), note that the Lie derivative of $w$ along $v$ is nothing but the commutator of the vector fields $v$ and $w$:

$$ L_v w = [v, w]. \tag{A7} $$

Note also that in formulas (A2)–(A6), one may replace the partial derivative operator $\partial$ by the covariant derivative operator $\nabla$ associated with the metric $g$. This stems from the symmetry property of the Christoffel symbols. In particular, Eq. (A6) can be written

$$ (L_v T)^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} = v^\mu \nabla_\mu T^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} + T^{\beta_\mu}_{\alpha_\nu} \nabla_\nu v^\mu + T^{\alpha_\mu}_{\beta_\nu} \nabla_\nu v^\mu. \tag{A8} $$

**APPENDIX B: DIFFERENTIAL FORMS AND EXTERIOR CALCULUS**

Given a integer $p$ satisfying $0 \leq p \leq 4$, a $p$-form is a tensor of type $(0, p)$ which is fully antisymmetric.
By convention, a 0-form is a scalar and a 1-form is a linear form. A differential form of rank \( p \) is a field of \( p \)-forms over \( \mathcal{M} \). Differential forms play a special role in the theory of integration on a manifold. Indeed the primary definition of an integral over a manifold of dimension \( p \) is the integral of a \( p \)-form. At a given point \( x \in \mathcal{M} \), the set of all 1-forms is \( \mathcal{T}_c(\mathcal{M}) \), the dual vector space to the vector space \( \mathcal{T}_c(\mathcal{M}) \) tangent to \( \mathcal{M} \) at \( x \). More generally the set \( \mathcal{A}^p_\ast(\mathcal{M}) \) of all \( p \)-forms at \( x \) is a vector space of dimension \( \binom{n}{p} \). In particular, the dimension of the space of 4-forms is 1: all 4-forms are proportional to each other.

We assume that the manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) is orientable, i.e. that there exists a continuous, nowhere vanishing, 4-form field. We may then introduce the Levi-Civita alternating tensor \( \epsilon \) (also called metric volume element) as the differential form of rank 4 such that for any vector basis \( (e_a) \) that is orthonormal with respect to \( g \),

\[
\epsilon(e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3) = \pm 1. \tag{B1}
\]

If \( \mathcal{M} \) is orientable, there are actually two such 4-form fields, opposite to each other: picking one of them is making a choice of orientation on \( \mathcal{M} \). Having a + sign (resp. – sign) in Eq. (B1) defines then a right-handed basis (resp. a left-handed basis). The components of \( \epsilon \) in a given right-handed basis (not necessarily orthonormal) are

\[
\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \sqrt{-g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)}, \tag{B2}
\]

where \( g \) is the determinant of the components \( (g_{\alpha\beta}) \) of the metric tensor in the considered basis and \([\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta] \) stands for 1 (resp. –1) if \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \) is an even (resp. odd) permutation of \((0,1,2,3) \), and 0 otherwise.

Two algebraic operations are defined on differential forms: the exterior product and Hodge star. The exterior product associates to any \( p \)-form \( A \) and any \( q \)-form \( B \) the \((p + q)\)-form \( A \wedge B \) defined by

\[
A \wedge B(v_1, \ldots, v_{p+q}) := \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{k(\sigma)} A(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(p)}) \times B(v_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(p+q)}), \tag{B3}
\]

where \( v_1, \ldots, v_{p+q} \) are generic \( p + q \) vectors, \( \mathcal{S}_{p+q} \) is the group of permutations of \( p + q \) elements, \((-1)^{k(\sigma)} \) is the signature of permutation \( \sigma \) and \( \times \) denotes the multiplication in \( \mathbb{R} \). In particular, if \( A \) and \( B \) are 1-forms, the exterior product is expressible in terms of tensor products as

\[
A \wedge B = A \otimes B - B \otimes A \quad \text{(1-forms)}. \tag{B4}
\]

If \( A \) is a 1-form and \( B \) is a 2-form, then

\[
A \wedge B(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (A \cdot v_1)B(v_2, v_3) + (A \cdot v_2)B(v_3, v_1) + (A \cdot v_3)B(v_1, v_2). \tag{B5}
\]

The Hodge star operator relies on the Levi-Civita tensor \( \epsilon \): it associates to every \( p \)-form \( \omega \), a \((4-p)\)-form \( \ast \omega \), called the Hodge dual of \( \omega \), and defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
0\text{-form}: & \quad (\ast \omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \omega_{\epsilon\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \tag{B6} \\
1\text{-form}: & \quad (\ast \omega)_{\alpha\beta} = \omega_{\mu} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{B7}, \\
2\text{-form}: & \quad (\ast \omega)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{B8}, \\
3\text{-form}: & \quad (\ast \omega)_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6} \omega_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{B9}, \\
4\text{-form}: & \quad \ast \omega = \frac{1}{24} \omega_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \tag{B10}
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that, for any \( p \)-form,

\[
\ast \ast \omega = (-1)^{p+1} \omega \tag{B11}
\]

and that, for any couple \((a, b)\) of 1-forms,

\[
\ast(a \wedge b) = \epsilon(a, \hat{b}, \ldots) \quad \text{and} \quad \ast[\epsilon(a, \hat{b}, \ldots)] = -a \wedge b, \tag{B12}
\]

where \( \hat{\alpha} \) (resp. \( \hat{\beta} \)) is the vector associated to \( a \) (resp. \( b \)) by the metric [cf. Eq. (2.2)].

Being tensor fields, the differential forms are subject to the covariant derivative \( \nabla \) and to the Lie derivative \( L_v \) discussed above. But, in addition, they are subject to a third type of derivation, called exterior derivation. The exterior derivative of a \( p \)-form field \( \omega \) is a \((p+1)\)-form field denoted \( d\omega \). In terms of components with respect to a given coordinate system \((x^a)\), \( d\omega \) is defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
0\text{-form}: & \quad (d\omega)_{\alpha} = \partial_\alpha \omega, \tag{B13} \\
1\text{-form}: & \quad (d\omega)_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta - \partial_\beta \omega_\alpha, \tag{B14} \\
2\text{-form}: & \quad (d\omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta\gamma + \partial_\beta \omega_\alpha\gamma + \partial_\gamma \omega_\alpha\beta \tag{B15}, \\
3\text{-form}: & \quad (d\omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \partial_\alpha \omega_{\beta\gamma\delta} - \partial_\beta \omega_{\alpha\gamma\delta} + \partial_\gamma \omega_{\alpha\beta\delta} - \partial_\delta \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \tag{B16}
\end{align*}
\]

It can be easily checked that these formulas, although expressed in terms of partial derivatives of components in a coordinate system, do define tensor fields. Notice that for a scalar field (0-form), the exterior derivative is nothing but the gradient 1-form. Notice also that the definition of the exterior derivative applies only to the manifold structure. It does not depend upon the metric tensor \( g \), nor upon
any other extra structure on $\mathcal{M}$. Besides, as for the Lie derivative expressions (A2)–(A6), all partial derivatives in formulas (B13)–(B16) can be replaced by covariant derivatives $\nabla$ thanks to the symmetry of the Christoffel symbols.

A fundamental property of the exterior derivation is to be nilpotent:

$$d\,d\omega = 0.$$  \hfill (B17)

A $p$-form $\omega$ is said to be closed iff $d\omega = 0$, and exact iff there exists a $(p-1)$-form $\sigma$ such that $\omega = d\sigma$. From property (B17), any exact $p$-form is closed. The Poincaré lemma states that the converse is true, at least locally.

With respect to the exterior product, the exterior derivation obeys to a modified Leibniz rule: if $a$ is a $p$-form and $b$ a $q$-form,

$$d(a \wedge b) = da \wedge b + (-1)^p a \wedge db.$$  \hfill (B18)

If $p$ is even, we recover the standard Leibniz rule.

The exterior derivative enters in the well-known Stokes' theorem: if $\mathcal{D}$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and has a boundary (denoted $\partial \mathcal{D}$), then for any $(d-1)$-form $\omega$,

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \omega = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\omega.$$  \hfill (B19)

Note that $\partial \mathcal{D}$ is a manifold of dimension $d-1$ and $d\omega$ is a $d$-form, so that each side of (B19) is a well-defined quantity, as the integral of a $p$-form over a $p$-dimensional manifold.

A standard identity relates the divergence of a vector field $\nu$ to the exterior derivative of the 3-form $\nu \cdot \varepsilon$ (see e.g. Appendix B of Ref. [22]):

$$d(\nu \cdot \varepsilon) = (\nabla \cdot \nu)\varepsilon.$$  \hfill (B20)

Another very useful formula where the exterior derivative enters is Cartan identity, which states that the Lie derivative of a $p$-form $\omega$ ($p \geq 1$) along a vector field $\nu$ is expressible as

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu \omega = \nu \cdot d\omega + d(\nu \cdot \omega).$$  \hfill (B21)

Notice that for a 1-form, Eq. (B21) is readily obtained by combining Eqs. (A5) and (B14).

**APPENDIX C: RELATION BETWEEN THE SCALAR FIELDS $\Phi$ AND $\Psi$ AND THE ELECTROMAGNETIC 4-POTENTIAL**

The electromagnetic 4-potential $A$ is not an observable and may not necessarily obey the symmetries (2.28) of the electromagnetic field $F = dA$. However, for each Killing vector, one can find a gauge transformation such that the 4-potential obeys the corresponding symmetry. We demonstrate this for stationarity and axisymmetry.

Stationarity implies that $\mathcal{L}_t F = \mathcal{L}_t dA = d\mathcal{L}_t A = 0$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is simply connected, then the Poincaré lemma implies that there exists a single-valued scalar $\mu$ such that $\mathcal{L}_t A = d\mu$, but this quantity will be nonzero in general. However, there exists a class of gauge transformations $A' = A + d\nu$ such that $\mathcal{L}_t A' = \mathcal{L}_t (A + d\nu) = d(\mu + \mathcal{L}_t \nu) = 0$ provided that the scalar $\nu$ satisfies $\mu + \mathcal{L}_t \nu = \text{const}$. This differential equation may be integrated along the integral curves of the timelike Killing vector $\xi$. This procedure eliminates the time-dependent part of $A$.

Axisymmetry implies the relation $\mathcal{L}_\chi F = \mathcal{L}_\chi dA' = d\mathcal{L}_\chi A' = 0$ which, by virtue of the Poincaré lemma, implies the existence of a single-valued scalar $\mu'$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\chi A' = d\mu'$, but this quantity will again be nonzero in general. However, there exists another class of time-independent gauge transformations $A'' = A' + d\nu'$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\chi A'' = \mathcal{L}_\chi (A' + d\nu') = d(\mu' + \mathcal{L}_\chi \nu') = 0$ with a time-independent scalar $\nu'$ (obeying $\mathcal{L}_\chi \nu' = 0$ by assumption) that satisfies the equation $\mu' + \mathcal{L}_\chi \nu' = \text{const}$. This differential equation can be integrated along the integral curves of the axial Killing vector $\chi$. The resulting gauge transformation eliminates the nonaxisymmetric part of $A'$ while maintaining its stationarity.

This permits one to work in a gauge class within which the 4-potential $A$ is stationary and axisymmetric. From the Cartan identity, $\xi \cdot dA + d(\xi \cdot A) = \mathcal{L}_\xi A = 0$, one then has $\xi \cdot F = -dA_i$ and similarly $\chi \cdot F = -dA_{\phi}$. Comparing these two equations to (2.29) and (2.30) allows one to identify $A_i$ with $\Phi$ and $A_{\phi}$ with $\Psi$, up to some additive constant, thereby demonstrating Eq. (2.31).

**APPENDIX D: KERR-NEUMANN ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD**

The Kerr-Newman solution describes a charged rotating black hole. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$, its electromagnetic field is [68]

$$F = \frac{\mu_0 Q}{4\pi(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^3}(P \wedge d\tau + R \wedge d\theta),$$  \hfill (D1)

where $Q$ is the total electric charge, $a := J/M$ the reduced angular momentum of the black hole, $P := (r^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta) d\tau - a^2 r \sin 2\theta d\theta$ and $R := a(r^2 \cos^2 \theta - r^2) \sin 2\theta d\tau + ar(r^2 + a^2) \sin 2\theta d\phi$. Since the Kerr-Newman spacetime is circular, $\xi^i = d\tau$ and $\chi^i = d\phi$ [cf. Eq. (2.41)]. The comparison with (2.35) leads to

$$\Phi = -\frac{\mu_0 Q}{4\pi} \frac{r}{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta},$$

$$\Psi = \frac{\mu_0 Q}{4\pi} \frac{a \sin^2 \theta}{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}, \quad I = 0.$$  \hfill (D2)

At the nonrotating limit ($a = 0$), this reduces to Reissner-Nordström solution:
The covariant components of $\mathbf{u}$ are given by $u_0 = u_{\mu} \xi^\mu$ and $u_3 = u_{\mu} \chi^\mu$, so that we may write

$$u_a = (-\lambda (V - W \Omega), u_3, \lambda (W + X \Omega)).$$

(E9)

2. Circular spacetimes

In the circular case, we may choose coordinates $(t, x^1, x^2, \varphi)$ so that the surfaces orthogonal to $\Pi$ are the surfaces $\{t = \text{const}, \varphi = \text{const}\}$. Then (2.23) holds and we have $\xi^a = g_{a\mu} \xi^\mu = g_{a0} = 0$ and $\chi^a = g_{a\mu} \chi^\mu = g_{a3} = 0$:

$$\xi^a = \chi^a = 0.$$  

(E10)

Accordingly, the components (E10) of the electromagnetic field simplify to

$$F_{a\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\partial_1 \Phi & -\partial_2 \Phi & 0 \\
\partial_1 \phi & 0 & -\sqrt{g}/\sigma & \partial_1 \psi \\
0 & -\sqrt{g}/\sigma & -\partial_2 \psi & 0 \\
0 & -\partial_1 \psi & \partial_2 \psi & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

(E11)

and relations (E3) and (E4) reduce to

$$u^0 = \lambda$$

(E12)

and

$$u^3 = \lambda \Omega.$$  

(E13)
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