Imaging a boson star at the Galactic center
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Abstract
Millimeter very long baseline interferometry will soon produce accurate images of the closest surroundings of the supermassive compact object at the center of the Galaxy, Sgr A*. These images may reveal the existence of a central faint region, the so-called shadow, which is often interpreted as the observable consequence of the event horizon of a black hole. In this paper, we compute images of an accretion torus around Sgr A* assuming this compact object is a boson star, i.e. an alternative to black holes within general relativity, with no event horizon and no hard surface. We show that very relativistic rotating boson stars produce images extremely similar to Kerr black holes, showing in particular shadow-like and photon-ring-like structures. This result highlights the extreme difficulty of unambiguously telling the existence of an event horizon from strong-field images.
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1. Introduction
Kerr black holes are characterized by the existence of an event horizon, a surface that separates the innermost region of spacetime from which no photons can reach a distant observer. The image of the vicinity of a Kerr black hole surrounded by an optically thin accretion flow is characterized by two specific features. The central part of the image is dark because the black hole has by definition no emitting surface and its event horizon captures photons traveling in the most central parts of the spacetime. This dark central area is known as
the black hole shadow [1]. This shadow is surrounded by a bright ring, the so-called photon ring, made of photons winding for one or many orbits in the very strong-field region extremely close to the black hole’s event horizon.

The shape and angular size of the photon ring (or, equivalently, that of the shadow) contains very important information on the spacetime geometry because it depends on the properties of the compact object. For a Kerr black hole, the shadow slightly changes with the observer’s inclination angle and with the black hole spin parameter [2]. Many articles have investigated whether alternative compact objects exhibit differences with respect to Kerr predictions [3–9].

These two specific features of the Kerr black hole, the shadow and the photon ring, have attracted considerable attention in the last few years because of the development of millimeter very long baseline interferometry (VLBI). In particular, the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT, [10]), which will become fully operational around 2020, will reach an angular resolution of \( \approx 20 \mu\text{as} \). This is less than the angular size of the shadow of the central black hole in our Galaxy, Sgr \( \alpha^* \), which is \( \approx 50 \mu\text{as} \), varying only slightly with the black hole spin. We note that the first EHT data were able to constrain the intrinsic angular size of the emitting region close to Sgr \( \alpha^* \) to only 37 \( \mu\text{as} \) [11]. The shadow of the central black hole of the Galaxy M87 has an angular size of roughly half the size of Sgr \( \alpha^* \) and is also a target of the EHT. As a consequence, very near-future observations might allow constraining the Kerr metric parameters and in particular the black hole spin from observing the size of the shadow of Sgr \( \alpha^* \) and M87. It might even be possible to constrain the actual theory of gravity in case the observed shadow cannot be fitted by using the Kerr metric.

The capability of VLBI to demonstrate the existence of a shadow at Sgr \( \alpha^* \) was first advocated by Falcke et al [1], who put forward the fact that detecting a shadow would be a proof of the existence of an event horizon. Since then many articles have investigated shadows and photon rings in the perspective of the EHT (see the references given above). These works generally follow one of three ways. They consider the observable predictions of strong-field images:

- either of a specific alternative theory of gravity;
- or of some specific alternative compact object within general relativity;
- or of some parameterization of the non-Kerrness of spacetime.

The last way will probably be the most efficient when analyzing an important set of data which is at stake. However the two first ones are very important as well in order to determine how specific to the Kerr metric the EHT observables are and in particular the existence and angular size of the black hole shadow and photon ring.

This paper aims at developing the second way put forward above. We are interested in determining the observable predictions of strong-field images of accretion flows around boson stars [12–14]. These are alternative compact objects within the classical theory of general relativity. Boson stars are particularly interesting as far as the future EHT data are concerned because these objects have no event horizon and no emitting hard surface. They are thus perfect testbeds for examining whether shadows are indeed a probe of the existence of an event horizon and for determining the potential changes of a strong-field image caused by the absence of such an event horizon, but still without any emitting hard surface (which is an important difference with respect to another well-studied alternative to black holes, the

\[\text{silhouette}\]

The term silhouette is often used in place of shadow. We keep here the original word, which describes properly the central fuzzy dark region of strong-field images.
This paper focuses on the particular case of the accretion flow surrounding Sgr A* as we have been investigating this environment in a recent work [16]. This work is one step in a series of papers aimed at examining the physical and astrophysical properties of boson stars [17, 18]. Section 2 presents boson stars and the accretion structure we consider. Section 3 gives our main results consisting of images and spectra of accretion tori surrounding boson stars. Section 4 provides conclusions.

2. Boson stars and accretion tori at Sgr A*

2.1. Boson stars

Boson stars are localized stable bundles of energy in the form of an assembly of spin-0 bosons. The idea of a soliton-like distribution of energy kept together by their own gravitational field dates back to the mid-1950s with the so-called geons (a particle-like solution of the coupled field equations of general relativity and electromagnetism) developed by Wheeler [19]. What is now called a boson star was developed by [12–14] that considered the Einstein–Klein–Gordon set of equations describing the gravitational field created by an assembly of spin-0 bosons. Such boson stars are macroscopic quantum objects subject to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. It is this principle that is at the basis of the fact that boson stars may not undergo complete gravitational collapse to form a black hole. A lot of work has been devoted to these objects and to their stability and we redirect readers to reviews containing the relevant references [20–22].

As of today, the only fundamental spin-0 boson is the Higgs boson detected recently by the Large Hadron Collider [23, 24]. Should boson stars be made of Higgs bosons, we would have to assume that the physical conditions inside these objects make it possible for the Higgs decay processes and their reverse to reach an equilibrium, in much the same way as for the $\beta$ decay in neutron stars.

A boson star is described by the Lagrangian

$$L_{BS} = L_g + L_\Phi$$

where $L_g$ is the Lagrangian of the gravitation field and $L_\Phi$ is the Lagrangian of a massive complex scalar field. Boson stars are objects described in the framework of classical general relativity with minimal coupling of the scalar field. Accordingly

$$L_g = L_{EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} R$$

is the standard Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian, $R$ being the Ricci scalar. The Lagrangian of the scalar field reads

$$L_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla^\mu \Phi \nabla^\nu \Phi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} |\Phi|^2 \right)$$

where $\Phi$ is the complex scalar field. Boson stars are constructed by demanding it takes the form

$$\Phi = \phi (r, \theta) \times \exp (i(\omega t - k\varphi))$$

where $\phi$ being its modulus, $\omega$ its frequency and the integer $k$ its azimuthal number. Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, we use quasi-isotropic coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \varphi)$. Note that although the scalar field is time-dependent, the spacetime metric of
boson stars is stationary. This is allowed by the simple harmonic time dependence of the scalar field and by the fact that its energy-momentum tensor only depends on the modulus of $\Phi$.

The parameter $m$ is the mass of one individual boson which should not be confused with the total mass of the boson star. In this framework, a boson-star spacetime is fully described by two parameters, the frequency $\omega$ and the azimuthal number $k$. The boson mass $m$ is simply a scaling parameter, in much the same way as the Kerr black hole mass. It can be shown that the pair $(\omega, k)$ should satisfy \[0 < \omega \leq \frac{m}{\hbar}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \] (5)

The closer $\omega$ is to $m/\hbar$, the less relativistic (i.e. compact) is the boson star [17]. At the limit of $\omega \to m/\hbar$, the scalar field vanishes. The boson star's angular momentum is directly proportional to the azimuthal number [17, 25]

\[ J = \hbar k \mathcal{N}, \] (6)

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the total particle number of the boson star. Thus the angular momentum is simply proportional to $k$. It is straightforward to compute a dimensionless spin parameter for a boson star in exactly the same way as for a Kerr black hole$^5$

\[ a = \frac{J}{M^2} \] (7)

where $M$ is the total ADM (Arnowitt–Deser–Misner) mass of the boson star. It is to be noted that contrary to the Kerr black hole case, $a$ is not restricted to be smaller than 1 [17, 18, 26]. In the Kerr case, the horizon is no longer defined for $a > 1$ and the central singularity becomes naked. As there is no event horizon nor a singularity for a boson star, nothing particular occurs when $a > 1$.

We have not considered any self-interaction potential between the bosons, meaning that our study is restricted to the so-called mini boson stars. We note that this restriction to mini boson stars is important as far as astrophysical applications are concerned because the maximum mass of a boson star is strongly dependent on the existence or non-existence of interactions between bosons [27]. For a mini boson star with an azimuthal number of order a few, the total mass $M$ satisfies [17]

\[ M < M_{\text{max}} = \alpha \frac{m_p^2}{m} \] (8)

where $\alpha$ is a coefficient of order $1 - 10$ and $m_p$ is the Planck mass. For a Higgs boson ($m = 125$ GeV), the maximum mass is of order $10^{-21} M_\odot$, which is of course unable to account for any black hole-like astrophysical sources. In order to get a total mass of $\approx 10^6 M_\odot$ (of the order of the mass of Sgr A*), the individual bosons should have a mass of $10^{-16}$ eV. We note again that much higher masses (consistent with supermassive black holes) can be produced by taking self-interaction into account, without having to postulate the existence of extremely light bosons [27]. However, for the sake of simplicity, we do not consider such an interaction in this paper. We thus assume the existence of very light spin-0 bosons in order to model Sgr A* by a mini boson star. We also note that Amaro-Seoane et al [28] has provided limits on $m$ based on dark matter models, which are not compatible with the very small value

$^5$ The Kerr spin parameter is $a = J/M$ and has the dimension of $M$. In this article we will consider the dimensionless quantity $a = J/M^2$ and call it spin for simplicity.
assumed here. However, we do not try in this paper to model self-consistently supermassive black holes and dark matter with the same scalar field.

It is not obvious to model black hole candidates of very different masses with the same boson. Once the parameter \( m \) is fixed, the total mass of the boson star is restricted between 0 and the maximum mass \( M_{\text{max}} \) introduced above. As a consequence it may seem that if a boson light enough to model the most massive supermassive black holes existed, it would be possible to model with the same boson all black hole candidates, whatever their mass (from stellar-mass to supermassive). However, this is not obvious because the total mass of the boson star can become very small with respect to \( m^2 / m \) only in the limit of \( \omega \to m / \hbar \). As \( \omega \) grows towards this limit, the distribution of the scalar field becomes less and less compact and the spacetime becomes less and less relativistic [17]. As a consequence it appears difficult to model all black hole candidates with one common scalar field. It would probably be even problematic to model all supermassive black hole candidates (with masses from \( \approx 10^6 M_\odot \) to \( \approx 10^{10} M_\odot \)) with one common boson given the large mass span. However, it is not very likely that all black hole candidates in the Universe would be boson stars; it is very possible that Kerr black holes would coexist with boson stars. In this article, we will not investigate this question any further and we only consider one object, Sgr A*, for which we chose the boson mass \( m \).

Varying the action constructed from the Lagrangian \( L_{\text{BS}} \) with respect to the metric leads to the usual Einstein field equations with the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field. Varying it with respect to the scalar field leads to the Klein–Gordon equation. This set of equations is solved using the KADATH library [17, 29]. In this paper, we use the set of metrics derived in [17]. We will consider only a few pairs of \((k, \omega)\) corresponding to a few of the solutions illustrated in figure 6 of [17] and referenced in table 2. In particular, we will not consider any boson-star spacetime containing an ergoregion as these solutions are unstable [30] (however, the timescale of the instability is not known and may be high enough to allow such configurations to exist [17]). We will consider rotating boson stars with azimuthal number \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 4 \), corresponding to the smallest and highest angular momentum of rotating boson stars computed in [17]. We will consider three values of the frequency, \( \omega = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 \) m/\( h \) spanning the spectrum from very relativistic \((\omega = 0.7 \) m/\( h \)) to mildly relativistic \((\omega = 0.9 \) m/\( h \)) solutions. For both \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 4 \), an ergoregion starts to develop for values of \( \omega \lesssim 0.65 \) m/\( h \). We consider also non-rotating boson stars with \( k = 0 \), taking into account two values of the frequency \( \omega = 0.83, 0.9 \) m/\( h \). For smaller values of the frequency, two solutions exist for the same value of \( \omega \) [17] and we restrict ourselves to the region of the parameter space with only one solution for one pair \((k, \omega)\).

We note that two of these spacetimes are secularly unstable. Indeed, a curve \( M(\omega) \) can be plotted for all values of \( k \) (see figure 6 of [17]). At least for the smallest values of \( k \), this curve shows a maximum for some value \( \omega_{\text{max}}(k) \). A secular stability condition of the boson star is that \( \omega > \omega_{\text{max}}(k) \) [31]. As \( \omega_{\text{max}}(k = 4) \) is within the region of the \( M(\omega) \) curve where an ergoregion exists, the \( k = 4 \) spacetimes considered here are all stable. However, \( \omega_{\text{max}}(k = 0) \approx 0.86 \) and \( \omega_{\text{max}}(k = 1) \approx 0.77 \), thus the \( (k = 0, \omega = 0.83) \) and \( (k = 1, \omega = 0.7) \) spacetimes are secularly unstable. We are still interested in investigating them in order to obtain a broad range of boson-star images, with also very relativistic configurations (i.e. with small values of frequency).

2.2. Accretion tori

The dynamical evolution of normal (baryonic) matter accreted onto a boson star has not been much investigated in the past. Reference [32] dating back to more than 10 years ago seems to
still contain the most developed discussion. It considers one of the most important questions, which is the possibility that accreting matter, by concentrating at the center of the boson star, would create a black hole there that could grow and ultimately encompass most of the scalar field distribution below its horizon. Considering this problem, Torres [32] shows that if a supermassive boson star is present at the Galactic center and accretes at the current rate during the age of the Universe, it would still be two orders of magnitude less massive than Sgr A* (note that this computation uses a very high value of the accretion rate in the innermost accretion flow—$\sim 10^{-6} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$—so it should be considered as an upper limit). This is an argument in favor of the fact that, should a supermassive boson star exist at Sgr A*, it could not have been turned into a black hole by accreting normal matter to its center. We also note that it is not straightforward that matter would be able to accumulate at the center of the boson star: it should in particular be able to fight against a strong angular momentum barrier. Moreover, Torres et al [33] advocates the idea that stars accreted by a boson star at the Galactic center would be fully disrupted by tidal effects and that the remaining matter would end in unbound orbits, thus not accumulating at the center. However, more work is needed in this area to get a clear picture of how accreted matter would behave and how likely it is to form a black hole at the center of an accreting boson star. In this article, we consider a stationary toroidal accretion configuration and we do not discuss its stability.

We model the accretion flow surrounding Sgr A* by a constant-specific-angular-momentum, circularly-orbiting, perfect-fluid, polytropic accretion torus. We have already studied the properties of such accretion tori surrounding boson stars in [18]. We combine here this work with our recent model of an accretion torus surrounding a Kerr black hole at Sgr A* [16]. Exactly the same model is used here, meaning that millimeter synchrotron radiation is emitted by the optically thin accretion torus. We refer to [16] for more details. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(k, \omega)$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$r_{in}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0, 0.83 m/\h)</td>
<td>0.63 $M$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.39 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0, 0.9 m/\h)</td>
<td>0.60 $M$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.80 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 0.7 m/\h)</td>
<td>1.26 $M$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.72 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 0.8 m/\h)</td>
<td>1.31 $M$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.84 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 0.9 m/\h)</td>
<td>1.12 $M$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4.90 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4, 0.7 m/\h)</td>
<td>3.90 $M$</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.34 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4, 0.8 m/\h)</td>
<td>3.35 $M$</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.92 $M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4, 0.9 m/\h)</td>
<td>2.52 $M$</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>5.30 $M$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$r_{in}$</th>
<th>$n_c$</th>
<th>$T_c$</th>
<th>$k_p$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$85^\circ$</td>
<td>3.2 $M$</td>
<td>4.2 $M$</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{10}$ K</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
main difference between the Kerr case and the boson star case, as far as accretion tori are concerned, is that there does not always exist a self-crossing equi-pressure line (a cusp) in a boson-star spacetime (see [18]). In [16] we assumed that the inner radius of the torus is located at the cusp (which always exists for a Kerr black hole). In a boson star spacetime, we choose rather to let the inner radius be a free parameter. As a consequence, an accretion torus surrounding a boson star is described by nine parameters (referenced in tables 1 and 2): the boson-star parameters $(\omega, k)$, the observer’s inclination $i$, the constant angular momentum $\ell = -u_\omega/u_t$ (where $u$ is the fluid 4-velocity), the polytropic index $k_p$, the inner radius of the torus $r_{in}$, the torus central temperature $T_c$ and number density $n_c$, and the plasma $\beta$ parameter being the ratio of the gas to magnetic pressures.

3. Images and spectra

In the whole paper, images and spectra of accretion tori surrounding black holes and boson stars are computed using the open-source\(^6\) GYOTO code [34]. Photons are traced backwards in time from a distant observer by integrating the geodesic equation using a Runge–Kutta–Fehlberg adaptive-step integrator at order 7/8 (meaning that the method is 8th order, with an error estimation at 7th order). The integration is performed in the Kerr metric (section 3.1) or in the numerical spacetime of a boson star computed by the KADATH library (section 3.2). The equation of radiative transfer is integrated inside the optically thin torus to determine the value of specific intensity reaching the observer in each direction on the sky (i.e. in each pixel of the observer’s screen).

3.1. Accretion tori around a Kerr black hole

3.1.1. Reference Kerr image. This section is meant to define a ‘reference’ image of an accretion torus surrounding a Kerr black hole, which will be used to interpret the subsequent boson-star images. This setup is not the result of a proper fit, it is only a set of parameters which allows us to reasonably account for the observable constraints that we currently have on the angular size of the emitting region at $\lambda = 1.3$ mm and on the millimeter spectrum of Sgr A*.

Typical spin parameters of boson stars are close to 1. The slowest-rotating ($k = 1$) boson stars that we analyze here have spin parameters of the order $a \approx 0.9$ [18]. As a consequence, we consider a Kerr spacetime with spin parameter $a = 0.9$. Table 1 shows the list of parameters which allows us to get a reasonable fit in the Kerr spacetime. It leads to the $1.3$ mm strong-field image and to the millimeter spectrum shown in figure 1. This figure also shows the equi-pressure contours of the reference Kerr torus. We note in particular that the radial extent of the torus is of the order of $20 M$. We are interested in this paper in the modification of the strong-field image when the spacetime is changed. As a consequence, we will keep fixed to their Kerr values given in table 1 all the astrophysical parameters ($\ell$, $n_c$, $T_c$, $k_p$, $\beta$) together with the inclination parameter $i$. The inner radius must be varied because different boson-star spacetimes lead to tori with different radial extension for the same value of $r_{in}$, so that keeping the same value of $r_{in}$ would have lead to very different looking images. We note that the total mass of the accretion torus is by many orders of magnitude smaller than the mass of Sgr A* which justifies the fact that we do not consider its contribution to the metric.

At 1.3 mm, interstellar scattering is still important [37] and will degrade the image with respect to what is shown in figure 1, essentially convolving it with a Gaussian of FWHM

In this analysis, we assume that this effect can be fully corrected (see [38] for a recent discussion).

Figure 1 illustrates the notions of shadow and the photon ring introduced earlier. The photon ring is the bright nearly circular ring of light at the center of the image. It is nearly exactly the outer limit of the black hole shadow, i.e. the locus of the directions on the observer’s sky that asymptotically approach the event horizon when ray tracing backwards in time. Figure 2 gives a precise illustration of the location of the shadow. Comparing figures 1 and 2 shows that the locus of the shadow is still illuminated in some parts because some radiation emitted by the accretion torus in between the compact object and the observer will fall inside the shadow when projected on the sky. However, a strong gradient of specific intensity should be visible at least in some parts of the photon ring (particularly away from the equatorial plane and from the part of the image boosted by the relativistic beaming).

≈20 μas. In this analysis, we assume that this effect can be fully corrected (see [38] for a recent discussion).

We note that geodesics ray traced backwards in time should never cross the event horizon as this would correspond to geodesics escaping the horizon, which is of course impossible. There is a stop condition in our ray-tracing code to prevent infinite integration when a photon approaches ‘too close’ to the horizon.
In our model, matter is not emitted down to the event horizon: the inner edge of the torus \( r = r_{\text{in}} = 4.2 M \) is the closest region where radiation is emitted. This is a condition for getting such a clear photon ring as illustrated in figure 1. However, even in case matter is emitted all the way down to the event horizon, there is still a sharp transition between the shadow and the outer region, as illustrated, for example, in figure 1 of [1]. As a consequence, it is really the strong gradient at the limit of the shadow which is the observable of interest, whatever the astrophysical model. Demonstrating the existence and measuring the angular size of this shadow (and of the surrounding photon ring if visible) is the main target of the EHT as far as strong-field gravity is concerned (see in particular [39]).
3.1.2. Ray tracing using an analytical or numerical Kerr metric. Imaging boson stars will necessitate integrating geodesics in a numerical spacetime. In this section we compare the accuracy of two computations of the ‘reference’ Kerr image. One image is integrated using the usual analytical expression of the Kerr metric in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates with a spin $a = 0.9$. The second image is integrated in a Kerr numerical spacetime (with $a = 0.9$ as well) computed using the LORENE library. This spacetime is described in quasi-isotropic coordinates which differ from Boyer–Lindquist coordinates and will be used to describe all boson-star spacetimes. Figure 3 shows the same strong-field image as already illustrated in the left panel of figure 1 computed by the GYOTO code in both these spacetimes. These two images are indistinguishable by eye, and their respective fluxes differ by no more than 0.02% demonstrating that GYOTO is able to very accurately integrate geodesics in numerical spacetimes. We insist on the fact that the analytical and numerical spacetimes are described in very different coordinate systems (for instance the radial coordinate values at the horizon, $r_{BL}$ and $r_{QI}$ for Boyer–Lindquist and quasi-isotropic coordinates, differ by a factor $\approx 4.6$) and that the observable, figure 3, is the same as it should be.

3.2. Accretion tori around a boson star

3.2.1. Tori setups. Accretion tori surrounding boson stars can be computed relatively easily, in much the same way as in the more standard Kerr case. Our recent analysis [18] highlights some of the main properties of these structures. In this section, we are interested in examining the modification on strong-field images imposed by the change of spacetime. As a consequence, we will keep fixed nearly all model parameters to the values given in table 1. Fixing the inner radius fixes the radial extent of the torus in a given spacetime, but this radial extent depends quite strongly on the spacetime. Therefore, we do not decide to keep the inner radius fixed, but rather to choose the inner radius in order to get, for all spacetimes considered, a radial extent of roughly $20M$ in the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (which is the radial extent of the reference torus in the Kerr metric described in section 3.1.1).

Table 2 gives the parameters used for all boson-star setups. All the parameters which are not mentioned have the same value as in table 1. Note that the dimensionless spin parameter $a$ can become bigger than 1 as opposed to the Kerr black hole case. There is nothing particular with a boson-star spacetime with a spin bigger than 1. In particular there is of course no naked singularity (as would be the case in the Kerr spacetime with $a > 1$).

Figure 4 shows the contours of the equi-pressure surfaces of these tori together with the contours of the scalar field modulus $\phi$. These panels highlight the fact that when the boson star rotates, the scalar field distribution has a toroidal topology. The name boson ‘star’ (suggestive of a spherical topology) is thus misleading for such objects; however we keep using it for historical reasons. While the contours of the torus remain rather similar for all spacetimes (including the Kerr spacetime, see the right panel of figure 1), the scalar field distribution is a bit more peaked for higher rotation and much more peaked for more relativistic spacetimes. This will translate in more important lensing effects in the strong-field region for very relativistic boson stars. We note also that the accretion torus and the scalar field distribution overlap in regions where the scalar field is still far from 0 (i.e. rather close to the center of the distribution), which does not lead to any physical effect as we assume that there is no interaction between normal (baryonic) matter and the scalar field.

8 Available at http://www.lorene.obspm.fr.
3.2.2. Images and spectra. Figure 5 shows the 1.3 mm images of all the tori setups surrounding boson stars given in table 2. For less-relativistic setups ($\omega = 0.9 \text{ m}/\text{h}$), which are closer to empty space (remember that $\omega = m/\text{h}$ corresponds to empty space, see section 2.1), the images show a smooth distribution of specific intensity for all values of $k$, with no strong gradient (no ‘hole’ at the center of these images). This is close to the image one would get in a Newtonian spacetime of a thick torus seen edge-on. For all values of $k$ also, a region with a much lower intensity value (a ‘hole’) appears in the image as $\omega$ decreases. For very relativistic spacetimes ($\omega = 0.7$) this ‘hole’ is accompanied by a bow-shape structure, which is the equivalent of the Kerr photon ring.

**Figure 4.** Boson-star accretion tori contours. Equi-pressure contours of the accretion torus (red) and contours of the scalar field distribution (black) for the various setups described in table 2, in the $(x, z)$ plane, where $z$ is a coordinate along the rotation axis. The axes are labeled in units of the boson star total mass $M$. Boson star rotation increases from top to bottom (towards higher $k$), and the spacetime is more and more relativistic from left to right (towards smaller $\omega$).
In order to understand these transitions, figure 6 (top row) shows again the torus pressure and scalar field contours for \( k = 1 \) spacetimes, together with three geodesics projected in the \((x = r \sin \theta, z = r \cos \theta)\) plane. These panels show the increasing gravitational lensing effects on null geodesics as \( \omega \) decreases and the spacetime becomes more relativistic. When the lensing effect is strong enough, a low-intensity region appears at the center of the images. When this effect is even stronger, two geodesics corresponding to very similar directions on the sky (within \( \approx 1 \) \( \mu \)as) can have very different trajectories, leading to the development of the bow-shape structure. The bottom panel of figure 6 shows that this is similar to what causes the

\[ \]
Figure 6. Light bending. Top row: the $k = 1$, $\omega = 0.7$ m/$\mu$s image with three blue dots corresponding to the directions on the sky of the three geodesics represented in the middle row panels. These three directions are separated by only $\approx 3$ $\mu$s. Middle row: same as figure 4 for $k = 1$ boson stars, with three photon geodesics over-plotted in blue in each panel, corresponding to the three directions on the sky highlighted in the top panel. The geodesics are integrated backwards in time from the distant observer. They are computed in three space dimensions $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ and are projected here in $(x = r \sin \theta, z = r \cos \theta)$ whatever $\varphi$. Bear in mind that part of the geodesics curvature on these plots is due to the projection from three to two space dimensions. The difference in magnitude of the lensing effect depending on the value of $\omega$ appears clearly. Bottom row: the same for the reference Kerr $a = 0.9$ case. The three geodesics represented here do not correspond to the same directions on the sky as the previous ones. They are associated with the vicinity of the Kerr photon ring, i.e. to the most lensed geodesics in the Kerr spacetime. Note that the dashed geodesic asymptotically approaches the event horizon.
appearance of the Kerr photon ring. This bow-shape structure characteristic of very relativistic boson stars was first highlighted very recently by [9]. Their figure 4, middle-right panel shows an extremely similar structure to our $k=1, \omega=0.7$ image. This structure has a very comparable angular size to that of the reference Kerr photon ring. The most distant part of the Kerr photon ring from the center of the coordinates (to the right of the image) is located at $\approx 35.5 \mu\text{as}$, while the most distant part of the bow-shape structure for the $(k=1, \omega=0.7 \text{ m/s})$ spacetime is located at $\approx 34.5 \mu\text{as}$ from the center of the coordinates.

The superposition of the low-flux central region and of this bow-shape structure is extremely similar to the shadow + photon ring familiar structure in the Kerr spacetime. In particular, it shows that detecting a shadow (i.e. a low-flux region surrounded by a bright portion of arc) is not sufficient to tell the existence of an event horizon, as suggested by [1]. It is probable that after distortion by the instrument’s response function, it would be impossible to differentiate a Kerr image from a very relativistic boson-star image.

We note here a particularity of the $k=4$ images. All other spacetimes give rise to an intensity distribution peaked more or less at the same point, to the left of the image in our geometry. This location corresponds to the maximum of the relativistic beaming effect due to the enhancement of radiation when the emitter is traveling towards the observer. However, the maximum of the intensity distribution is somewhat shifted with respect to this maximum beaming location for all $k=4$ spacetimes. This is mainly due to the stronger bending of light rays as explained in figure 7. This figure compares the two geodesics corresponding to the location on the sky of the intensity maxima of the $(k=0, \omega=0.9 \text{ m/s})$ and $(k=4, \omega=0.9 \text{ m/s})$ spacetimes. It shows that the geodesic corresponding to the maximum intensity location of the $(k=4, \omega=0.9 \text{ m/s})$ spacetime (dashed blue, right panel) visits the very central parts of the torus, which translates in a high intensity. On the contrary, the same geodesic in the $(k=0, \omega=0.9 \text{ m/s})$ spacetime (dashed blue, left panel) always stays rather far from the innermost torus regions. Strong light bending thus somewhat changes the flux distribution for $k=4$ spacetimes.
Figure 8 shows the corresponding millimeter spectra for all boson-star spacetimes as well as for the Kerr reference case. It shows that different setups lead to different spectra. However, it is not likely that spectra can provide a way to differentiate alternative compact objects given how degenerate the different parameters are. Taking different values of the astrophysical parameters like the central density and temperature will lead to very different spectra while the angular size of the ‘shadow’ (be it the usual Kerr shadow or the faint central region in highly relativistic boson-star spacetimes) will not differ as it is due to the lensing effects which are independent of astrophysics. It is to be noticed still that the \( w = 0.7 \) spectrum (dotted green) is extremely similar to the Kerr reference spectrum (solid black): both the image and the spectra are thus extremely similar to the Kerr case for this spacetime.

3.2.3. Photon orbit, bow-shape structure and spacetime stability. The \( k = 1, \omega = 0.7 \) spacetime we highlighted in the previous section as able to generate a Kerr-similar strong-field image may suffer from two stability issues.

First, this solution is located at \( \omega < \omega_{\text{max}}(k = 1) \) as already written in the introduction. It is thus secularly unstable.

Second, [40] advocates the fact that all spacetimes with a stable photon–orbit and no event horizon are unstable. The \( k = 1, \omega = 0.7 \) spacetime indeed has a stable photon–orbit. However, we believe that the statement of [40] is not sufficient to be able to conclude with full confidence: a stability study of rotating boson-star spacetimes is thus very much needed.

Even if the \( k = 1, \omega = 0.7 \) spacetime may not be astrophysically relevant, we consider that the fact that a spacetime with no event horizon can mimic a Kerr strong-field image is sufficiently interesting to be highlighted. However, in order to determine what the strong-field image will look like for a stable spacetime, we have computed one more image for \( k = 1 \) boson stars, considering a frequency of \( \omega = 0.77 \) (corresponding to the maximum of the...
which is secularly stable. Moreover, the \((k = 1, \omega = 0.77)\) spacetime has no photon–orbit and no ergoregion. It still displays a bow-shape structure, although it is smaller than in figure 5, middle right panel.

\(M(\omega)\) curve) which is secularly stable. Moreover, the \((k = 1, \omega = 0.77)\) spacetime has no photon–orbit and no ergoregion. There is thus to our knowledge no obvious reason to doubt its stability. The spin parameter of this configuration being \(a = 0.8\), it is also compatible with a Kerr spacetime. Figure 9 shows a strong-field 1.3 mm image for this spacetime. It still displays the bow-shape structure typical of an extremely strong lensing effect. This bow-shape structure, although smaller than in the \((k = 1, \omega = 0.7)\) spacetime, is still similar to a portion of a Kerr photon ring. In particular, it appears on the Doppler deboosted part of the image, which is the primary target for detecting photon rings as highlighted by Psaltis et al \[39\].

Figure 9 thus shows that strong-field images with a clear decrease of intensity in the central parts (a ‘shadow’) and strong gradients of intensity (the bow-shape structure, similar to a partly obscured photon ring) are not sufficient to tell an event horizon.

4. Conclusion

We have performed ray-tracing computations of accretion tori surrounding Kerr black holes and different kinds of boson stars in order to produce 1.3 mm images and spectra of the accretion flow surrounding Sgr A* in the perspective of future high-quality observations at this wavelength by the EHT. Our goal is to determine how strong-field images differ from the well-known Kerr case when considering boson stars, i.e. compact objects with no event horizon and no hard surface.

The main result of our research is figure 5 and particularly its central right panel showing the image of an accretion torus around a \((k = 1, \omega = 0.7 \, m/h)\) boson star which is extremely similar to a Kerr strong-field image. In particular, the image shows a faint central region the angular size of which is very similar to that of the Kerr shadow for the same spin and orientation. This finding questions the assumption of Falcke et al \[1\], and many other authors, that detecting a shadow (i.e. a faint central region separated by a strong intensity gradient from the exterior region) is proof of the existence of an event horizon. Moreover, a bow-shape
structure, due to very strong light bending close to the center of the scalar field distribution, is visible in highly relativistic boson-star spacetimes and is very similar to the Kerr photon ring.

Quite a few caveats should be noticed in order to interpret this result.

- A first obvious remark is that our model is stationary and made of a compact distribution of normal matter which is not extending down to \( r = 0 \) (which would be possible at least in theory for a boson-star spacetime given that there is no event horizon nor any singularity at \( r = 0 \)). In case a long-lived accretion flow extending down to \( r = 0 \) and emitting sufficiently would be viable, it would not exhibit the same shadow-like central region. It is very difficult to predict what such a flow would look like and we are now developing general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics numerical simulations of such accretion structures in order to investigate this option.

- We are considering in this paper mini boson stars (with no self-interactions among bosons), meaning that we have to assume the existence of extremely light \( \approx 10^{-16} \text{ eV} \) spin-0 bosons in order to model Sgr A*. We plan to develop similar simulations as presented in this paper for self-interacting boson stars that would allow modeling supermassive compact objects with a much higher boson mass. We also note that Horvat et al \[41\] studied boson stars non-minimally coupled to gravity. This is another direction of generalization for the present work.

- Our model assumes the stability of an accretion flow made of normal matter and surrounding a boson star (for the typical parameters given in table 2). We are not aware of any work studying in detail the evolution of baryonic matter around rotating boson stars, and in particular the possibility of forming a black hole by accreting matter to \( r = 0 \). This is a very interesting area of research that we plan to investigate.

- Finally, we have been assuming that normal matter does not interact with bosons except through gravitational interaction.

However, despite all these limiting remarks, we believe that our result highlights the extreme difficulty of interpreting strong-field images. In particular it shows the importance, for the future interpretation of EHT data, of studying the observable predictions of well-established alternative compact objects, in parallel to developing parameterized non-Kerr spacetimes. As highlighted by \[9\] it would be interesting to check whether these parameterized spacetimes can produce such structures as the bow-shape feature exhibited in figure 5.

As a final remark, we would like to stress that the aim of this article is not to support the case for a boson star at the center of the Galaxy, or as an alternative to black hole candidates in general. Our aim is to investigate the simplest possible testbed of event-horizon-less spacetime. We believe that this simplest testbed is the boson-star model. As a consequence, boson stars are useful tools to investigate the power of experiments aimed at demonstrating the existence of black holes. Such experiments should first demonstrate their ability to tell a black hole from a boson star. This article shows that experiments based on the investigation of the shadows of compact objects may not be valid tests of the existence of black holes because it is not clear that they are able to unambiguously differentiate a black hole from a boson star. It is possible, although not clear at the moment, that gravitational-wave tests could be a clean way to differentiate a black hole from a boson star \[26, 42, 43\].
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